

QUATERNION ALGEBRAS: SET 1

KEITH CONRAD

Matrices

1. Let R be a ring with identity, possibly *noncommutative*. Check the 2×2 matrices over R , denoted $M_2(R)$, form a ring with identity $I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ using the usual addition and multiplication rules. In the case of multiplication, entries from the left matrix always come first. That is, the matrix product is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' + bc' & ab' + bd' \\ ca' + dc' & cb' + dd' \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. When R is *commutative*, the trace, determinant, and transpose of a matrix in $M_2(R)$ are defined by

$$\operatorname{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = a + d, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\top = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Check, for all $A, B \in M_2(R)$, that the trace satisfies

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A + B) = \operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}(B), \quad \operatorname{Tr}(AB) = \operatorname{Tr}(BA),$$

the determinant satisfies

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B),$$

and the transpose satisfies

$$(A^\top)^\top = A, \quad (A + B)^\top = A^\top + B^\top, \quad (AB)^\top = B^\top A^\top, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(A^\top) = \operatorname{Tr}(A), \quad \det(A^\top) = \det(A).$$

Also, verify that A is a root of the quadratic polynomial $T^2 - (\operatorname{Tr} A)T + \det A \in R[T]$. That is, $A^2 - (\operatorname{Tr} A)A + (\det A)I_2 = O$.

3. When R is commutative, show $M_2(M_2(R))$ is isomorphic as a ring to $M_4(R)$ by “erasing the borders.”

4. Determine which parts of the previous two exercises remain true when R is noncommutative (e.g., $R = \mathbf{H}$ or $R = M_2(\mathbf{R})$).

5. Let R be a commutative ring. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$, show $A \in M_2(R)^\times \iff \det A \in R^\times$, in which case $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d/\Delta & -b/\Delta \\ -c/\Delta & a/\Delta \end{pmatrix}$, where $\Delta = \det A$. Also check, for any $A, B \in M_2(R)$ with B invertible, that BAB^{-1} has the same trace and determinant as A . (A matrix of the form BAB^{-1} is called a *conjugate* of A , which is not to be confused with the use of this term in the sense of complex or quaternionic conjugate on \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{H} .)

6. Let $A \in M_2(\mathbf{Q})$. If $A \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$, its trace and determinant are in \mathbf{Z} , but not conversely, e.g., $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By exercise 5, any matrix in $M_2(\mathbf{Q})$ which is conjugate to a matrix in $M_2(\mathbf{Z})$ has integral trace and determinant. Is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ conjugate to a matrix in $M_2(\mathbf{Z})$?

7. (Inverting quaternionic matrices)

a) In $M_2(\mathbf{H})$, show the three matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i & j \\ j & k \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} i & j \\ j & i \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} i & i \\ -j & j \end{pmatrix}$ are all invertible (that is, each has a 2-sided multiplicative inverse) by computing the inverse. Notice that the second matrix has determinant $i^2 - j^2 = 0$, yet it is invertible.

b) Pick any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $q_1 q_2 \neq q_2 q_1$. Show the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q_1 \\ q_2 & q_1 q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible (with determinant 0) but its transpose $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q_2 \\ q_1 & q_1 q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is not invertible (with non-zero determinant).

8. (Homomorphisms) This exercise gives a common property of \mathbf{H} and $M_2(\mathbf{R})$: any ring homomorphism from either of these rings to a non-zero ring is injective.

a) Let D be a division ring and let R be any non-zero ring (with identity). Show any ring homomorphism $f: D \rightarrow R$ is injective. (By definition, ring homomorphisms preserve the multiplicative identity.)

b) Let F be a field and let R be any non-zero ring (with identity). Show any ring homomorphism $f: M_2(F) \rightarrow R$ is injective. (Hint: If $f(M) = 0$, also $f(AMB) = 0$ for any $A, B \in M_2(F)$. When $M \neq O$, choose A and B suitably to conclude $f(I_2) = 0$, a contradiction.)

Quaternions

9. Check all the multiplicative rules for i, j, k in \mathbf{H} follow from $i^2 = -1, j^2 = -1, k = ij = -ji$, and associativity.

10. Verify properties of quaternionic conjugation: $\bar{q} = q, \overline{q_1 + q_2} = \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2, \overline{q_1 q_2} = \bar{q}_2 \bar{q}_1, \overline{c q} = \bar{c} \bar{q}$ for $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\bar{q} = q \Leftrightarrow q \in \mathbf{R}$.

11. For $q \in \mathbf{H}$, its trace and norm are $\text{Tr}(q) = q + \bar{q}$ and $N(q) = q\bar{q}$.

a) Show every $q \in \mathbf{H}$ is a root of the quadratic polynomial $T^2 - (\text{Tr}q)T + N(q) \in \mathbf{R}[T]$. Thus $\mathbf{R}[q] = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}q$ for $q \notin \mathbf{R}$.

b) Call $q \in \mathbf{H}$ *integral* if $\text{Tr}(q) \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $N(q) \in \mathbf{Z}$. (For example, every quaternion with integer coordinates is integral.) Let $q_1 = 1/2 + i/6 + j/6 + 5k/6$ and $q_2 = k$. Show q_1 is integral, but $q_1 + q_2$ and $q_1 q_2$ are not integral. Is q_1 conjugate to a quaternion with integral coordinates? (That is, does $qq_1 q^{-1}$ have integral coordinates for some nonzero q ?)

12. (Matrix embeddings)

a) Show the maps $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{R})$ given by $a + bi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{C})$ given by $z + wj \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z & -w \\ w & z \end{pmatrix}$ are injective ring homomorphisms.

b) What operations on $M_2(\mathbf{R})$ correspond to conjugation and norm on the subring \mathbf{C} ? Similarly for $M_2(\mathbf{C})$ and the subring \mathbf{H} .

c) Following the pattern in part a, can you say anything worthwhile about the set of quaternionic matrices $\begin{pmatrix} q_1 & -q_2 \\ q_2 & q_1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(\mathbf{H})$?

13. Verify that the images of \mathbf{C} in $M_2(\mathbf{R})$ and \mathbf{H} in $M_2(\mathbf{C})$ from the previous exercise can be described as follows: $\mathbf{C} = \{A \in M_2(\mathbf{R}) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \{A \in M_2(\mathbf{C}) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$, where \bar{A} is the matrix with complex conjugate entries to A .

14. View $M_n(\mathbf{C}) \subset M_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ and $M_n(\mathbf{H}) \subset M_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ in the “obvious” way using the embeddings from exercise 12. Is the generalization of the previous exercise for $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $M_n(\mathbf{H})$ true if $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is replaced by the $2n \times 2n$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} O & -I_n \\ I_n & O \end{pmatrix}$?

15. For $q \in \mathbf{H}^\times$, let $R_q: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ by $R_q(r) = qrq^{-1}$.

a) Show R_q is a ring homomorphism.

b) Show $R_{q_1} \circ R_{q_2} = R_{q_1 q_2}$. Would this be true if we used the function $r \mapsto q^{-1} r q$ instead? Does $R_{q_1 + q_2} = R_{q_1} + R_{q_2}$?

c) For $q, q' \in \mathbf{H}^\times$, show $R_q(r) = R_{q'}(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbf{H}$ if and only if $q = cq'$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}$. Is this conclusion still true if we only know $R_q(r) = R_{q'}(r)$ for all pure quaternions r ?

d) For any $f(T) \in \mathbf{R}[T]$ and $r \in \mathbf{H}$, show $f(r) = 0 \Rightarrow f(R_q(r)) = 0$.

16. As in lecture, let $\mathbf{H}^0 = \mathbf{R}i + \mathbf{R}j + \mathbf{R}k$.

a) Show $\mathbf{H}^0 = \{q \in \mathbf{H} : q^2 \leq 0\}$, and use this to give another proof that $R_q(\mathbf{H}^0) \subset \mathbf{H}^0$ when $q \in \mathbf{H}^\times$.

b) For $q \in \mathbf{H}$, show $q^2 = -1$ if and only if $q = bi + cj + dk$ with $b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1$. That is, the roots of $T^2 + 1$ in \mathbf{H} form a sphere of pure quaternions.

c) Writing $\mathbf{H}^0 = \{q \in \mathbf{H} : \text{Tr}(q) = 0\}$ suggests the analogue of pure quaternions in $M_2(\mathbf{R})$ is $\{A \in M_2(\mathbf{R}) : \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$. As with pure quaternions, is it true that the square of a “pure matrix” is a scalar (matrix)? In \mathbf{H} , $q^2 \in \mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow q \in \mathbf{R}$ or $q \in \mathbf{H}^0$. Is the analogous statement true in $M_2(\mathbf{R})$?

17. Let $q = 1 + 2k$. View $R_q: \mathbf{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0$ as a map from \mathbf{R}^3 to \mathbf{R}^3 by the identification

$$x_1i + x_2j + x_3k \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compute $R_q(\mathbf{v})$ when \mathbf{v} is either of the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

18. In $\mathbf{C}[T]$, $T^2 + 1 = (T + i)(T - i)$. Viewing $\mathbf{C}[T]$ inside $\mathbf{H}[T]$, this polynomial identity is not true when we substitute $T = j$. What does this mean?

19. Identify \mathbf{H}^0 with \mathbf{R}^3 in the usual way, as in exercise 17.

a) Show multiplication of *pure* quaternions can be described in terms of the dot product and cross product on \mathbf{R}^3 : $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{H}^0 \implies q_1q_2 = -(\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2) + \mathbf{q}_1 \times \mathbf{q}_2$, where we write bold \mathbf{q} for the vector in \mathbf{R}^3 corresponding to the pure quaternion q in \mathbf{H}^0 . In particular, observe that \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 are perpendicular if and only if q_1 and q_2 anti-commute (that is, $q_1q_2 = -q_2q_1$).

b) What are the constraints on the coordinates of $x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$ in order for it to anti-commute with $i + j$?

c) For $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbf{H}^0$, show

$$\mathbf{q}_1 \times (\mathbf{q}_2 \times \mathbf{q}_3) = \frac{1}{2}(q_1q_2q_3 - q_2q_3q_1).$$

20. When Hamilton was looking for a multiplication on \mathbf{R}^3 , he wanted a product, call it \odot , which preserves length: $|\mathbf{v}_1||\mathbf{v}_2| = |\mathbf{v}_1 \odot \mathbf{v}_2|$ for $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbf{R}^3$. Squaring both sides, this amounts to seeking a multiplication rule for sums of three squares: can products of sums of three squares be given again as a sum of three squares by a polynomial expression in the original coordinates, say with integer coefficients? What about with real coefficients? This would generalize the two-square identity $(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2$, where the coefficients are ± 1 .

21. Write $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}\sqrt{-1}$. Show $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbf{C})$ if we identify $1 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $i \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $j \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $k \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

22. (The quaternionic exponential function) For $q \in \mathbf{H}$, set $e^q = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n/n!$.

a) Write $q = a + bq_0$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and q_0 is pure of length 1. Show $e^q = e^a e^{bq_0}$.

b) With the notation as in part a, show $e^{bq_0} = \cos b + (\sin b)q_0$. In particular, e^{bq_0} has length 1, so $|e^q| = e^a$.

c) For $q, q' \in \mathbf{H}$, does $e^q e^{q'} = e^{q+q'}$ if and only if $qq' = q'q$?

Linear Algebra

23. In \mathbf{R}^n , let \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 be linearly independent. For $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$, show $a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2$ and $c\mathbf{v}_1 + d\mathbf{v}_2$ are linearly independent if and only if the determinant $|\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}| = ad - bc$ is non-zero.

24. Prove there is no way to make \mathbf{R}^3 into a field such that the usual scalar multiplication $c\mathbf{v}$ ($c \in \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^3$) equals $(ce) \odot \mathbf{v}$, where e is the identity for the hypothetical multiplication \odot in the field. (Hint: Pick any $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in \mathbf{R}^3 , and let $T_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ by $T_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w} \odot \mathbf{v}$. Show $T_{\mathbf{w}}$ is \mathbf{R} -linear, so it can be represented by a 3×3 matrix. This matrix has an eigenvalue in \mathbf{R} , say λ . Conclude $\mathbf{w} = \lambda e$ if \mathbf{R}^3 is a field. Therefore every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ is a scalar multiple of e , which is a contradiction.)