

**ZETA AND L-FUNCTIONS**  
**HOMEWORK 3**  
**JULY 13, 2000**

*Due: Thursday, July 20 at the beginning of class*

*Problems:*

- (1) Verify the following identities, for  $s > 1$ :

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|\mu(n)|}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\tau(n)^2}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s)^4}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

- (2) Define

$$\zeta_2(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - \frac{1}{4^s} + \cdots = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}.$$

- a) Show  $\zeta_2(s)$  converges for  $s > 0$ , and when  $s > 1$  we have

$$\zeta_2(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s).$$

Therefore the formula  $\zeta_2(s)/(1 - 2^{1-s})$  provides us with a means of extending the definition of  $\zeta(s)$  to  $s > 0$  (with  $\zeta(1) = \infty$ ).

- b) For any integer  $m \geq 2$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m(s) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(m-1)^s} - \frac{m-1}{m^s} + \cdots \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_n = 1$  for  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $a_n = -(m-1)$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Show  $\zeta_m(s)$  converges for  $s > 0$  and  $\zeta_m(s) = (1 - m^{1-s})\zeta(s)$  when  $s > 1$ . Therefore we can extend  $\zeta(s)$  from  $s > 1$  to  $s > 0$  by the formula

$$\frac{\zeta_m(s)}{1 - m^{1-s}}.$$

Show this ratio of functions does not depend on the choice of  $m \geq 2$ , so we do not get different extensions of the zeta function by using different values of  $m$ . (Note: Be careful about how you work with the series  $\zeta_m(s)$  for  $0 < s < 1$ , where the series can't be arbitrarily rearranged.)

- c) With the extension of  $\zeta(s)$  to  $0 < s < 1$  as given in part a), show  $\zeta(s) < 0$  for  $0 < s < 1$ .

- (3) Determine all Dirichlet characters mod 9 and mod 12. (For modulus 9, you will need cube roots of unity. Write  $\omega$  for  $(-1 + \sqrt{3}i)/2$ , a nontrivial cube root of unity.)

- (4) Let  $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series and  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character. For a Dirichlet character  $\chi$ , define the *twist* of  $f$  by  $\chi$  to be the Dirichlet series  $f_\chi(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}$ . For example, the twist of  $\zeta(s)$  by  $\chi_3$  is  $L(s, \chi_3)$ .

For Dirichlet series  $f$  and  $g$  which converge somewhere and  $\chi$  a Dirichlet character, show  $(f + g)_\chi(s) = f_\chi(s) + g_\chi(s)$  and  $(fg)_\chi(s) = f_\chi(s)g_\chi(s)$ , where the equations hold for large  $s$ . (How large does  $s$  have to be?) So twisting a Dirichlet series by a Dirichlet character commutes with addition and multiplication of the series.

- (5) Suppose  $\sum a_n n^{-s}$  is a Dirichlet series admitting a quadratic Euler product:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + b_p p^{-2s}}.$$

a) Notice that  $a_p$  appears in two places, as the coefficient of the  $p$ th term in the sum on the left and as a coefficient in the  $p$ th factor on the right. Show this is not inconsistent, in the sense that if we assumed instead the a priori more general quadratic Euler product

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - c_p p^{-s} + b_p p^{-2s}},$$

then  $c_p = a_p$ .

b) Show  $a_{p^r} = a_p a_{p^{r-1}} - b_p a_{p^{r-2}}$  for  $r \geq 2$ . (In particular, if  $b_p = 0$ , so the  $p$ th Euler factor has degree less than 2, then we get  $a_{p^r} = a_p^r$ .)

c) When  $a_n = \sigma_k(n)$ , find  $b_p$ .

- (6) For  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{2}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , its norm is  $N\alpha = a^2 - 2b^2$  and this norm is multiplicative by simple algebraic calculations. Since  $N(2 + 3\sqrt{2}) = -14$ , the norm can be negative.

a) For nonzero  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , prove  $\#\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/\alpha = |N\alpha|$ . (In this and the rest of this problem, which is a generalization of an earlier exercise for  $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ , don't just say "This is the same as  $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ ." Work out the details, in your own words.)

b) Define the zeta function of  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  to be

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]}(s) = \prod_{(\pi)} \frac{1}{1 - |N\pi|^{-s}},$$

where  $\prod_{(\pi)}$  designates a product over nonassociate irreducibles of  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . (Associate elements have the same norm, and there are infinitely many units, so it is pretty important here that we *not* be taking a product over *all* the irreducibles!)

Prove the Euler product defining  $\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]}(s)$  converges for  $s > 1$  and then show

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]}(s) = \sum_{(\alpha)} \frac{1}{|N\alpha|^s},$$

where  $\sum_{(\alpha)}$  is a summation over nonassociate elements of  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Explicitly cite any convergence theorems you use for infinite series and products, as well as any arithmetic properties you use of  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

c) For  $s > 1$ , prove  $\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]}(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_8^+)$ , where  $\chi_8^+$  is the mod 8 Dirichlet character that equals 1 on  $\pm 1$ .

d) For  $s > 1$ , show  $\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]}(s) \leq \zeta(s)^2$ . The same argument should show  $\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}[i]}(s) \leq \zeta(s)^2$ .

- (7) This exercise gives a purely algebraic proof that for  $n \geq 2$ , there are infinitely many primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . It generalizes the proof from class that there are infinitely many  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Although it may seem pedantic, you are reminded that primes are understood to be positive.

We will use the  $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_m(X)$ , which is defined to be the polynomial whose roots are the different roots of unity of exact order  $m$ :

$$\Phi_m(X) = \prod (X - \omega),$$

where  $\omega$  runs over the  $m$ th roots of unity in  $\mathbf{C}$  with exact order  $m$ . For example,

$$\Phi_1(X) = X - 1, \quad \Phi_2(X) = X + 1, \quad \Phi_3(X) = X^2 - X + 1, \quad \Phi_4(X) = X^2 + 1.$$

Collecting the  $m$ th roots of unity according to their exact order, we have the basic identity

$$X^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(X).$$

The salient nontrivial fact you need to know about cyclotomic polynomials is that  $\Phi_m(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  for all  $m$ . (From their definition, it is only apparent that  $\Phi_m(X) \in \mathbf{C}[X]$ .) In particular, the above equation now implies  $\Phi_m(X) | (X^m - 1)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$  for all  $m$ .

a) Prove  $\Phi_m(0) = 1$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

b) By definition,  $\Phi_m(X)$  is constructed so that its roots in  $\mathbf{C}$  are the roots of unity of exact order  $m$ , each root appearing once. Since  $\Phi_m(X)$  has coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the polynomial can be reduced mod  $p$  and we may consider roots in  $\mathbf{Z}/p$  of the mod  $p$   $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial. Prove that when  $p$  does not divide  $m$ , the roots of  $\Phi_m(X)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/p$  – if there are any – have the same algebraic property as the complex roots: they appear only once and have (multiplicative) order  $m$ . That is, if  $\Phi_m(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  with  $p$  not dividing  $m$ , then  $(X - r)^2$  is not a factor of  $\Phi_m(X)$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)[X]$  and  $r$  has order  $m$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^\times$ .

In particular, if  $p$  does not divide  $m$  and  $\Phi_m(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some integer  $r$ , then PROMYS number theory implies  $m|p-1$ , so  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . (If we did not take primes to be positive, we'd have to write this conclusion as  $|p| \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , since  $\#(\mathbf{Z}/p) = |p|$  if we make no convention on the sign of prime numbers.)

c) Fix  $m \geq 2$ . Show  $\Phi_m(a) \neq \pm 1$  for large  $a$ . By choosing  $a$  appropriately, deduce that there is a (positive) prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , and then construct infinitely many such primes.

d) For  $m \geq 3$ , prove there are infinitely many (positive) primes  $p \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{m}$ . (At some point in the proof you'd better use the fact that  $m \neq 2$ , since the result is false when  $m = 2$ . Point out where  $m \neq 2$  is used.)

e) The salient nontrivial fact referred to above, that  $\Phi_m(X)$  has integer coefficients, can be proven without much trouble via infinite series, as follows.

We take  $m \geq 2$ ; the result is clear for  $m = 1$ . Argue by a multiplicative Möbius inversion that

$$\Phi_m(X) = \prod_{d|m} (X^d - 1)^{\mu(m/d)} = \prod_{d|m} (1 - X^d)^{\mu(m/d)},$$

where the order of subtraction can be switched since  $\sum_{d|m} \mu(m/d) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$ . Convert any terms  $(1 - X^d)^{-1}$  having an exponent of  $-1$  into a geometric series, multiply all factors of the product together, and then compare with the original definition of  $\Phi_m(X)$ .

f) Just to be clear about what is going on with “additive” and “multiplicative” Möbius inversions, let's prove a generalized Möbius inversion formula. Let  $A$  be any abelian group, with the group operation written additively. Let  $f, g$  be two functions from  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  to  $A$  having the relation  $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$  for all  $n$ . Observe the addition here is taking place in the group  $A$ . Prove  $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)f(d)$ . Part e) is Möbius inversion where  $f(n) = X^n - 1$  and  $g(n) = \Phi_n(X)$  send  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  to the *multiplicative* group of rational functions.