# THE GAUSS NORM AND GAUSS'S LEMMA 

KEITH CONRAD

In algebra, the name "Gauss's Lemma" is used to describe any of a circle of related results about polynomials with integral coefficients. Here are three.

The first result, which can be found in [1, p. 528], says that a factorization in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ of a polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ can be adjusted to be a factorization in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ just by scaling the factors.
Theorem 1. If $f(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ is nonzero and $f(T)=g(T) h(T)$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ then $f(T)=$ $G(T) H(T)$ where $G(T)$ and $H(T)$ are in $\mathbf{Z}[T], G$ is a scalar multiple of $g$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ and $H$ is a scalar multiple of $h$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$.
Example 2. Let $f(T)=T^{2}-4$. Then $f(T)=(3 T-6)(T / 3-2 / 3)$ is a factorization in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$. Multiplying the first factor by $1 / 3$ and the second factor by 3 , we get the more familiar factorization $f(T)=(T-2)(T+2)$ in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$.

The second result, which is in [3, p. 40], is about primitive polynomials. A polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ is called primitive when its coefficients are relatively prime when considered together. For example, $6 T^{2}+10 T+15$ is primitive; even though each pair of coefficients is not relatively prime, the triple of coefficients $(6,10,15)$ is relatively prime and that makes the polynomial primitive.
Theorem 3. If $f(T)$ and $g(T)$ are primitive in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ then $f(T) g(T)$ is primitive.
The third result was essentially stated by Gauss himself [2, Article 42].
Theorem 4. If $f(T)$ is monic in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ and $f(T)=g(T) h(T)$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ where $g(T)$ and $h(T)$ are monic, then $g(T)$ and $h(T)$ are in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$.

We will prove these theorems with an extension of the $p$-adic absolute value from $\mathbf{Q}$ to $\mathbf{Q}[T]$.
Definition 5. For a polynomial $f(T)=\sum a_{n} T^{n}$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ and a prime $p$, define the $p$-adic Gauss norm of $f$ to be $|f|_{p}=\max _{n}\left|a_{n}\right|_{p}$.

In this definition we are not specifying the degree of the polynomial $f$, but it doesn't matter since the maximum in the definition of $|f|_{p}$ is unaffected by additional coefficients that are 0 . If $f(T)=c$ is constant then $|f|_{p}=|c|_{p}$, so $|\cdot|_{p}$ on $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ restricts to the $p$-adic absolute value on $\mathbf{Q}$.
Example 6. If $f(T)=6 T^{2}-(5 / 3) T+4 / 7$, we have $|f|_{2}=\max (1 / 2,1,1 / 4)=1,|f|_{3}=$ $\max (1 / 3,3,1)=3,|f|_{5}=\max (1,1 / 5,1)=1,|f|_{7}=\max (1,1,7)=7$, and $|f|_{p}=1$ for all $p>7$. Note $\prod_{p}|f|_{p}=21$ and $21 f(T)=126 T^{2}-35 T+12$ is a scalar multiple of $f(T)$ with integral coefficients that is primitive. This idea will be used later.

To get used to the meaning of the $p$-adic Gauss norms as $p$ varies, we show how to use them to describe being primitive in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$.
Theorem 7. A polynomial $f(T)$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ is primitive in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ if and only if $|f|_{p}=1$ for all primes $p$.

Proof. If $f$ is primitive in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ then for each prime $p$ we have $|f|_{p}=1$ because all the coefficients of $f$ are integers (so $|f|_{p} \leq 1$ ) and at least one of its coefficients is not divisible by $p$ (so $|f|_{p}=1$ ).

Conversely, assume for each prime $p$ that $|f|_{p}=1$. Then each coefficient of $f$ has $p$-adic absolute value at most 1 for all $p$, so each coefficient of $f$ is a $p$-adic integer for all $p$. A rational number that is in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ for all $p$ is in $\mathbf{Z}$, so $f(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$. If $f(T)$ were not primitive then its coefficients would share a common prime factor $p$ and then $|f|_{p}<1$ for that $p$. Therefore the assumption that $|f|_{p}=1$ for all $p$ implies $f$ is primitive.

Clearly $|f|_{p} \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $f=0$, and easily $|f+g|_{p} \leq \max \left(|f|_{p},|g|_{p}\right)$ and $|f g|_{p} \leq|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$ by the formulas for adding and multiplying polynomials together with the strong triangle inequality. Perhaps surprisingly, $|\cdot|_{p}$ is actually multiplicative on $\mathbf{Q}[T]$.
Theorem 8. For $f$ and $g$ in $\mathbf{Q}[T],|f g|_{p}=|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$.
Proof. If $f=0$ or $g=0$ then the equality is obvious, so we can assume $f$ and $g$ each have some nonzero coefficients: $|f|_{p}>0$ and $|g|_{p}>0$.

Write $f(T)=\sum a_{m} T^{m}$ and $g(T)=\sum b_{n} T^{n}$. (We don't specify where the polynomials stop; coefficients equal 0 in large degrees.) Since $|f g|_{p} \leq|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$, to prove $|f g|_{p}=|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$ we seek a coefficient in $f g$ with absolute value $|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$. We will do this in two ways.

Method 1: Focus on where coefficients of maximal absolute value in $f$ and $g$ first occur.
Set $|f|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}$ with $M$ minimal and $|g|_{p}=\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}$ with $N$ minimal: $\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}$ for $m<M$ and $\left|b_{n}\right|_{p}<\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}$ for $n<N$. (If either $M$ or $N$ is 0 then such an inequality is an empty condition.) We seek a coefficient in $f g$ with absolute value $|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$ and will find it in degree $M+N$.

The coefficient of $T^{M+N}$ in $f g$ is $\sum_{m=0}^{M+N} a_{m} b_{M+N-m}$. The term in this sum at $m=M$ is $a_{M} b_{N}$. For $0 \leq m<M$,

$$
\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p} \leq\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}|g|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}
$$

For $M<m \leq M+N$ we have $0 \leq M+N-m<N$, so

$$
\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p} \leq|f|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p} .
$$

Thus $\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M} b_{N}\right|_{p}$ for $0 \leq m \leq M+N$ with $m \neq M$, so by the strong triangle inequality we get

$$
\left|\sum_{m=0}^{M+N} a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M} b_{N}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}=|f|_{p}|g|_{p} .
$$

Method 2: Focus on where coefficients of maximal absolute value in $f$ and $g$ last occur.
Now set $|f|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}$ with $M$ maximal and $|g|_{p}=\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}$ with $N$ maximal: $\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}$ for $m>M$ and $\left|b_{n}\right|_{p}<\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}$ for $n>N$. We'll see that a coefficient in $f g$ of $p$-adic absolute value $|f|_{p}|g|_{p}$ occurs in degree $M+N$.

The coefficient of $T^{M+N}$ in $f g$ is $\sum_{m=0}^{M+N} a_{m} b_{M+N-m}$ and the term in this sum at $m=M$ is $a_{M} b_{N}$. If $0 \leq m<M$ then $M+N-m>N$, so

$$
\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p} \leq|f|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-n}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-n}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p} .
$$

For $M<m \leq M+N$,

$$
\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p} \leq\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}|g|_{p}=\left|a_{m}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p} .
$$

Thus $\left|a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}<\left|a_{M} b_{N}\right|_{p}$ for $0 \leq m \leq M+N$ with $m \neq M$, so by the strong triangle inequality we get

$$
\left|\sum_{m=0}^{M+N} a_{m} b_{M+N-m}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M} b_{N}\right|_{p}=\left|a_{M}\right|_{p}\left|b_{N}\right|_{p}=|f|_{p}|g|_{p}
$$

The proof of Theorem 8 did not need the coefficients to be rational: Definition 5 for the prime $p$ makes sense on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}[T]$, not just $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ (where $p$ can vary), and Theorem 8 holds on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}[T]$ by the same proof. While we only need one of the methods in the proof of Theorem 8, there are generalizations of Theorem 8 from polynomials to different types of $p$-adic power series - the formal power series $\mathbf{Z}_{p}[[T]]$ and the restricted power series $\mathbf{Q}_{p}\langle T\rangle$ - where one method works and the other doesn't, so both are worthwhile. Gauss himself used the first method (on polynomials).
Remark 9. We can extend the ordinary absolute value on $\mathbf{Q}$ to $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ in the same way as we $\operatorname{did}|\cdot|_{p}$ and the ordinary triangle inequality $|f+g| \leq|f|+|g|$ trivially holds, but behavior under multiplication is bad: $|T+1|=1$ but $\left|(T+1)^{n}\right|=\left|T^{n}+n T^{n-1}+\cdots+1\right| \geq n$, so $\left|(T+1)^{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove Theorem 1 we use the following result that shows how to systematically scale a polynomial in $\mathbf{Q}[T]$ to a primitive polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ using all the Gauss norms on $\mathbf{Q}[T]$.
Lemma 10. For $f(T) \in \mathbf{Q}[T]$ set $A=\prod_{p}|f|_{p}$. Then $A f(T)$ is in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ and is primitive.
The product over all $p$ defining $A$ makes sense since $|f|_{p}=1$ for all but finitely many $p$.
Proof. For each integer $n$, the fact that $\left|p^{n}\right|_{p}=1 / p^{n}$ and $\left|q^{n}\right|_{p}=1$ for primes $q \neq p$ tells us that $|A|_{p}=\left||f|_{p}\right|_{p}=1 /|f|_{p}$. Thus $|A f|_{p}=|A|_{p}|f|_{p}=1$ for all $p$, so $A f(T)$ is primitive in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ by Theorem 7 .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $A=\prod_{p}|f|_{p}, B=\prod_{p}|g|_{p}$, and $C=\prod_{p}|h|_{p}$. Since $|f|_{p}=$ $|g|_{p}|h|_{p}$ for all $p$, taking the product of both sides over all $p$ implies $A=B C$.

By Lemma 10, the polynomials $F(T)=A f(T), G(T)=B g(T)$, and $H(T)=C h(T)$ are all in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$. From $f=g h$ we get $F(T) / A=(G(T) / B)(H(T) / C)=G(T) H(T) / B C=$ $G(T) H(T) / A$, so $F(T)=G(T) H(T)$. Thus

$$
f(T)=\frac{1}{A} F(T)=\frac{1}{A} G(T) H(T) .
$$

The coefficients of $f$ are integers, so $1 /|f|_{p} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $p$ and thus $1 / A \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore $(1 / A) G(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$, so renaming $(1 / A) G(T)$ as $G(T)$ we are done.

Proof of Theorem 3. By $(\Rightarrow)$ in Theorem $7,|f|_{p}=1$ and $|g|_{p}=1$ for each prime $p$. Thus $|f g|_{p}=|f|_{p}|g|_{p}=1$ for all $p$, so $f g$ is primitive by $(\Leftarrow)$ in Theorem 7 .

Proof of Theorem 4. A monic in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ is primitive, so $|f|_{p}=1$ for all $p$. Therefore $|g|_{p}|h|_{p}=1$. Since $g$ and $h$ are monic, $|g|_{p} \geq 1$ and $|h|_{p} \geq 1$, so the equation $|g|_{p}|h|_{p}=1$ implies $|g|_{p}=1$ and $|h|_{p}=1$ for all $p$. Thus $g$ and $h$ are in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ by $(\Leftarrow)$ in Theorem 7 .
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