PROOFS OF INTEGRALITY OF BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

KEITH CONRAD

1. Introduction

The binomial coefficients are the numbers

(1.1) \[ \binom{n}{k} := \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} \]

for integers \( n \) and \( k \) with \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). Their name comes from their appearance as coefficients in the binomial theorem

(1.2) \[ (x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k}. \]

but we will use (1.1), not (1.2), as their definition. While \( \binom{n}{k} \) is, by (1.1), obviously a rational number, it is not at all obvious from (1.1) that \( \binom{n}{k} \) is an integer.

**Theorem 1.1.** For all integers \( n \) and \( k \) with \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), \( \binom{n}{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \).

We will give six proofs of Theorem 1.1 and then discuss a generalization of binomial coefficients called \( q \)-binomial coefficients and the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for them.

2. Proof by Combinatorics

Our first proof will be a proof of the binomial theorem that, at the same time, explains a combinatorial meaning of binomial coefficients. By the distributive law we can write

\[ (1 + x)^n = (1 + x)(1 + x) \cdots (1 + x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k} x^k, \]

where \( c_{n,k} \) is the number of ways of selecting \( k \) items out of \( n \) items (pick \( x \) from \( k \) of the factors \( x+1 \) in \( (1+x)^n \) and pick 1 from the rest). The numbers \( c_{n,k} \), by the description we just gave of them (“the number of ways . . .”), are positive integers. Clearly \( c_{n,0} = c_{n,n} = 1 \).

To get a formula for \( c_{n,k} \), we have

\[
(1 + x)^{n+1} = (1 + x)(1 + x)^n = (1 + x) \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k} x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k} x^{k+1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_{n,k} + c_{n,k-1}) x^k + x^{n+1},
\]

\[ = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_{n,k} + c_{n,k-1}) x^k + x^{n+1}, \]

respectively.
and differentiation of both sides gives us
\[(n + 1)(1 + x)^n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k(c_{n,k} + c_{n,k-1})x^{k-1} + (n + 1)x^n.\]

Equating coefficients of \(x^{k-1}\) on both sides for \(k = 1, \ldots, n - 1\), we get
\[(2.1) \quad (n + 1)c_{n,k-1} = k(c_{n,k} + c_{n,k-1}) \implies c_{n,k} = \frac{n - (k - 1)}{k} c_{n,k-1}.\]

Iterating this a second time,
\[c_{n,k} = \frac{(n - (k - 1))(n - (k - 2))}{k(k - 1)} c_{n,k-2}.\]

Continuing, we eventually get
\[c_{n,k} = \frac{(n - k + 1)(n - k + 2) \cdots (n - k + k)}{k(k - 1) \cdots (1)} c_{n,k-k} = \frac{(n - k + 1)(n - k + 2) \cdots n}{k!} = \binom{n}{k}.\]

Therefore \(\binom{n}{k}\) is a positive integer.

3. Proof by Recursion

Binomial coefficients are determined by the Pascal’s triangle recursion illustrated below.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & \binom{0}{0} & & \binom{0}{1} \\
1 & 1 & & \binom{1}{0} & \binom{1}{1} & \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & \binom{2}{0} & \binom{2}{1} & \binom{2}{2} \\
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & \binom{3}{0} & \binom{3}{1} & \binom{3}{2} & \binom{3}{3} & \binom{3}{4} \\
\end{array}
\]

Algebraically, the recursion is \(\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1\) for \(n \geq 0\), and
\[(3.1) \quad \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} + \binom{n - 1}{k}.\]

for \(1 \leq k \leq n\). The reader can check directly that (1.1) satisfies this recursion.

For \(k \leq n - 1\) we can apply the recursion to the second term on the right in (3.1), getting
\[\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} + \binom{n - 2}{k - 1} + \binom{n - 2}{k}.\]

Continuing,
\[\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} + \binom{n - 2}{k - 1} + \binom{n - 3}{k - 1} + \cdots + \binom{k - 1}{k - 1}\]
\[= \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n - 1 - j}{k - 1}\]
\[= \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-1} \binom{j}{k - 1}.\]

This says each entry in Pascal’s triangle is not just the sum of the two entries directly above it, but is the sum of the entries in all previous rows shifted over to the left by 1 position.

The diagram below illustrates how \(\binom{4}{2}\) (where \(k = 2\)) is the sum of \(\binom{a}{2}\) for \(a = 1, 2, 3\).
Since \( \binom{n}{k} \) is a sum of binomial coefficients with denominator \( k - 1 \), if all binomial coefficients with denominator \( k - 1 \) are in \( \mathbb{Z} \) then so are all binomial coefficients with denominator \( k \), by (3.2). Thus the integrality of all \( \binom{n}{k} \) is proved by induction since it is clear when \( k = 0 \).

4. **Proof by Calculus**

For \( |x| < 1 \) we have the geometric series expansion

\[
\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k.
\]

There is no obvious connection between this and binomial coefficients, but we will discover one by looking at the series expansion of powers of \( 1/(1-x) \). For \( m \geq 1 \),

\[
\frac{1}{(1-x)^m} = \left( \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^m = (1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{m,k} x^k,
\]

where \( a_{m,k} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) by the way power series (like polynomials) multiply. Working out the first few powers of \( 1/(1-x) \), we get the expansions shown below.

\[
\begin{align*}
1/(1-x) &= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots \\
1/(1-x)^2 &= 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + 5x^4 + \cdots \\
1/(1-x)^3 &= 1 + 3x + 6x^2 + 10x^3 + 15x^4 + \cdots \\
1/(1-x)^4 &= 1 + 4x + 10x^2 + 20x^3 + 35x^4 + \cdots \\
1/(1-x)^5 &= 1 + 5x + 15x^2 + 35x^3 + 70x^4 + \cdots
\end{align*}
\]

There are binomial coefficients along diagonals. For instance, the coefficients of degree 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 in \( 1/(1-x)^5 \), \( 1/(1-x)^4 \), . . . , \( 1/(1-x) \) are (tilt your head left) 1, 4, 6, 4, 1. This suggests trying to describe \( a_{m,k} \) as an explicit binomial coefficient. Then, since we already know each \( a_{m,k} \) is an integer, we will get a proof that binomial coefficients are integers.

Taylor’s formula says \( a_{m,k} = f^{(k)}(0)/k! \) where \( f(x) = 1/(1-x)^m = (1-x)^{-m} \). Successive differentiation of \( f(x) = (1-x)^{-m} \) with the power rule and chain rule gives

\[
\begin{align*}
f'(x) &= (-m)(1-x)^{-m-1}(-1) = m(1-x)^{-m-1}, \\
f''(x) &= (-m - 1)m(1-x)^{-m-2}(-1) = (m + 1)m(1-x)^{-m-2}, \\
f'''(x) &= (-m - 2)(m + 1)m(1-x)^{-m-3}(-1) = (m + 2)(m + 1)m(1-x)^{-m-3},
\end{align*}
\]

and in general for \( k \geq 0 \)

\[
f^{(k)}(x) = (m + k - 1)\cdots(m + 2)(m + 1)m(1-x)^{-m-k},
\]

so \( f^{(k)}(0) = (m + k - 1)\cdots(m + 2)(m + 1)m \). Thus

\[
a_{m,k} = \frac{(m + k - 1)\cdots(m + 1)m}{k!} = \binom{m + k - 1}{k}.
\]
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Now if we start with integers \( n \geq k \geq 0 \), let’s make \( \binom{n}{k} \) be \( \binom{m+k-1}{k} \) by using \( m = n-k+1 \). This is a positive integer since \( n \geq k \). Therefore \( \binom{n}{k} = a_{n-k+1,k} \in \mathbb{Z} \).

5. Proof by Number Theory

Clearly \( \binom{n}{k} \) is a positive rational number. We will prove it is an integer by showing its denominator is 1 using prime factorization.

The multiplicity of a prime \( p \) in a nonzero rational number \( r \) is the power of \( p \) in the reduced form of \( r \). For example,

\[
\frac{40}{7} = \frac{2^3 \cdot 5}{7} = 2^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^{-1}
\]

so we say \( 40/7 \) has 2-multiplicity 3, 5-multiplicity 1, and 7-multiplicity \(-1\), and \( p \)-multiplicity 0 for primes \( p \) other than 2, 5, and 7. Denote the \( p \)-multiplicity of \( r \) as \( m_p(r) \), so the above calculations say \( m_2(40/7) = 3, m_5(40/7) = 1, m_7(40/7) = -1 \), and \( m_p(40/7) = 0 \) for primes \( p \) other than 2, 5, and 7.

Since the \( p \)-multiplicity is an exponent, it behaves like a logarithm:

\[
(5.1) \quad m_p(ab) = m_p(a) + m_p(b), \quad m_p(a/b) = m_p(a) - m_p(b).
\]

For example, \( m_2(80) = m_2(16 \cdot 5) = 4 \) and \( m_2(8) + m_2(10) = 3 + 1 = 4 \), while \( m_2(80/14) = m_2(40/7) = 3 \) and \( m_2(80) - m_2(14) = 4 - 1 = 3 \). The rules (5.1) essentially come from unique prime factorization in \( \mathbb{Z} \).

A nonzero rational number is an integer exactly when its \( p \)-multiplicity is nonnegative for all primes \( p \) (a rational number that is not an integer has negative \( p \)-multiplicity for primes \( p \) appearing in its reduced form denominator). We will prove \( \binom{n}{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \) by showing \( m_p(\binom{n}{k}) \geq 0 \) for every prime \( p \). According to [2, p. 263], this argument is due to André [1, pp. 188-189].

For prime \( p \) and integer \( N \geq 0 \), Legendre [3, p. 10] proved a formula for \( m_p(N!) \):

\[
m_p(N!) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \cdots,
\]

where the sum is finite since the \( i \)th term is 0 once \( p^i > N \).\(^1\) Thus

\[
m_p\left( \binom{n}{k} \right) = m_p\left( \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \right)
\]

\[
= m_p(n!) - m_p(k!) - m_p((n-k)!)\]

\[
= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{p^i} \right\rfloor
\]

\[
= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{p^i} \right\rfloor \right)
\]

Each term in this last sum has the form \( \left\lfloor x + y \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor x \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor y \right\rfloor \), where \( x = k \) and \( y = n - k \). For all real numbers \( x \) and \( y \), \( \lfloor x + y \rfloor \) is either \( \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \) or \( \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1 \): if the decimal parts of \( x \) and \( y \) have sum in \( [0, 1) \) then \( \lfloor x + y \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \), while if the decimal parts of \( x \) and \( y \) have sum in \( [1, 2) \) then \( \lfloor x + y \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1 \).

\(^1\)A second formula for \( m_p(N!) \) is \( (N - s_p(N))/(p - 1) \), where \( s_p(N) \) is the sum of the base \( p \) digits of \( N \). This is also due to Legendre [3, p. 12].
Since \(|x + y| - |x| - |y|\) is always 0 or 1, the formula for \(m_p(n)\) shows it is a sum of terms that are each 0 or 1. Therefore \(m_p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}\).

6. Proof by Group Theory

If a group \(G\) of order \(a\) contains a subgroup \(H\) of order \(b\) then \(a/b = |G|/|H|\) is an integer by Lagrange’s theorem: \(|G|/|H|\) is the index \([G : H]\).

The group \(S_n\) has order \(n!\). For \(1 \leq k \leq n-1\), the permutations of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) carrying \(\{1, \ldots, k\}\) and \(\{k+1, \ldots, n\}\) back to themselves are a subgroup isomorphic to \(S_k \times S_{n-k}\). The order of this subgroup is \(k!(n-k)!\), so Lagrange’s theorem implies \(n!/k!(n-k)! \in \mathbb{Z}\).

7. Proof by Polynomial Functions

For a variable \(x\), set
\[
\binom{x}{k} = \frac{x(x-1) \cdots (x-(k-1))}{k!} = \frac{x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)}{k!}.
\]
This is a polynomial of degree \(k\) with rational coefficients. For example,
\[
\binom{x}{0} = 1, \quad \binom{x}{1} = x, \quad \binom{x}{2} = \frac{x^2 - x}{2}, \quad \binom{x}{3} = \frac{x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x}{6}.
\]
We can see that the coefficients are not generally integers.

For an integer \(n \geq k\), the value of \(\binom{x}{k}\) at \(x = n\) is \(\binom{n}{k}\). Since we have a polynomial, we can substitute in values of \(x\) that are integers less than \(k\). When \(k \geq 1\) and \(x = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1\) we have \(\binom{x}{k} = 0\). At \(x = k\), the value of \(\binom{x}{k}\) is 1. This information turns out to be enough to deduce that the values of \(\binom{x}{k}\) at larger integers are also in \(\mathbb{Z}\).

**Theorem 7.1.** If \(f(x)\) is a polynomial with rational coefficients of degree \(k\) and \(f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(k)\) are in \(\mathbb{Z}\) then \(f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}\) for every integer \(n \geq 0\).

**Proof.** We argue by induction on the degree of \(f(x)\). The result is obvious if \(k = 0\). If \(k = 1\), so \(f(x) = ax + b\) with \(a\) and \(b\) in \(\mathbb{Q}\), then \(f(0) = b\) and \(f(1) = a + b\), so \(a = f(1) - b = f(1) - f(0)\). Thus \(a\) and \(b\) are in \(\mathbb{Z}\), so \(f(n) = an + b\) is an integer when \(n\) is a nonnegative (or arbitrary) integer.

Now suppose \(\deg f = k \geq 2\) and the theorem is proved for polynomials with rational coefficients of degree less than \(k\). Set \(g(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)\). What does this look like?

Writing \(f(x) = a_kx^k + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1x + a_0\), we have
\[
g(x) = f(x+1) - f(x) = (a_k(x+1)^k + a_{k-1}(x+1)^{k-1} + \ldots + a_0) - (a_kx^k + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + a_0)
\]
\[
= a_k((x+1)^k - x^k) + a_{k-1}((x+1)^{k-1} - x^{k-1}) + \ldots + a_1(x+1 - x)
\]
\[
= kax^{k-1} + \text{lower degree terms},
\]
where the last formula is due to \((x+1)^k = x^k + kx^{k-1} + \text{lower degree terms}\). (This is a weak form of the binomial theorem.) Since \(a_k \neq 0\), \(g(x)\) has degree \(k - 1\).

From \(f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(k)\) being in \(\mathbb{Z}\), the values \(g(0) = f(1) - f(0), g(1) = f(2) - f(1), \ldots, g(k-1) = f(k) - f(k-1)\) are in \(\mathbb{Z}\) since each one is a difference of integers. By induction on polynomial degrees, \(g(n) \in \mathbb{Z}\) for all nonnegative integers \(n\). Then for integers \(n \geq 1\),
\[
f(n) = (f(n) - f(n-1)) + (f(n-1) + f(n-2)) + \ldots + (f(1) - f(0)) + f(0)
\]
(7.1) \[= g(n-1) + g(n-2) + \ldots + g(0) + f(0),\]
which is a sum of integers, so \( f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Remark 7.2.** The theorem admits a slightly stronger conclusion than we have shown: \( f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), not just when \( n \geq 0 \).

This approach to the integrality of binomial coefficients shares a lot in common with the proof by recursion. Indeed, for \( k \geq 1 \) the polynomial \( \binom{x}{k} \) satisfies \( \binom{x+1}{k} = \binom{x}{k-1} + \binom{x}{k} \), so if \( f(x) = \binom{x}{k} \) then \( g(x) := f(x+1) - f(x) \) is \( \binom{x}{k-1} \). In this case, (7.1) says

\[
\binom{n}{k} = g(n-1) + g(n-2) + \cdots + g(0) + f(0)
\]

\[
= \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-2}{k-1} + \cdots + \binom{0}{k-1} + \binom{0}{k}.
\]

The term \( f(0) \) is 0, and also \( g(j) = 0 \) for \( j = 0, \ldots, k-2 \), so (7.1) for \( f(x) = \binom{x}{k} \) says

\[
\binom{n}{k} = g(n-1) + g(n-2) + \cdots + g(k-1) = \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-1} \binom{j}{k-1},
\]

which is exactly (3.2).

**8. q-binomial coefficients**

For a real number \( q > 0 \) with \( q \neq 1 \), or an indeterminate \( q \), the positive integer \( n \) can be generalized to the polynomial

\[
(n)_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}.
\]

This polynomial is called the \( q \)-analogue of \( n \), and at \( q = 1 \) its value is \( n \). For example,

\[
(1)_q = 1, \quad (2)_q = 1 + q, \quad (3)_q = 1 + q + q^2.
\]

The expression of \((n)_q\) as the ratio \((q^n - 1)/(q - 1)\) does not make direct sense at \( q = 1 \), but its limit as \( q \to 1 \) is \( n \). The product

\[
(n)_q! = (n)_q(n-1)_q \cdots (2)_q(1)_q,
\]

is called the \( q \)-factorial of \( n \), and we set \((0)_q! = 1\) (analogous to setting \( 0! = 1 \)). The ratio

\[
\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{(n)_q!}{(k)_q!(n-k)_q!} = \frac{(n)_q(n-1)_q \cdots (n-k+1)_q}{(k)_q!(n-k)_q!}
\]

is called a \( q \)-binomial coefficient. Each \((j)_q\) is a polynomial in \( q \), so \((n)_q! \) is a rational function of \( q \). Since \((n)_q! = n! \) at \( q = 1 \), we have \((n)_q! = (n)_q! \) at \( q = 1 \).

The second defining formula for \((n)_q! \) in (8.1) has an equal number of factors in the numerator and denominator (the first defining formula in (8.1) does as well), so writing \((j)_q = (q^j - 1)/(q - 1)\) gives us a third formula for \( q \)-binomial coefficients:

\[
\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.
\]

**Example 8.1.** Since \((0)_q! = 1\) and \((1)_q! = 1\), we have \((n)_0_q = (n)_q = 1\) for \( n \geq 0 \) and \((n)_1_q = (n-1)_q = (n)_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}\) for \( n \geq 1 \), which generalize \((n)_0 = (n)_1\) for \( n \geq 0 \) and \((n)_1 = (n-1)_q = n\) for \( n \geq 1 \).
Example 8.2. The first \( q \)-binomial coefficient \( \binom{n}{k}_q \) with \( k \neq 0, 1, n - 1, \) or \( n \) is

\[
\binom{4}{2}_q = \frac{(4)_q(3)_q}{(2)_q!} = \frac{(1 + q + q^2 + q^3)(1 + q + q^2)}{(1 + q)} = (1 + q^2)(1 + q + q^2),
\]

where the last formula comes from the factorization \( 1 + q + q^2 + q^3 = (1 + q)(1 + q^2) \). Setting \( q = 1 \), we recover the value \( \binom{4}{2}_q = 2 \cdot 3 = 6 \).

The calculation in Example 8.2 is a special case of the following general theorem.

**Theorem 8.3.** For integers \( n \geq k \geq 0 \), \( \binom{n}{k}_q \) is a polynomial in \( q \) with coefficients that are nonnegative integers.

Theorem 8.3 implies the integrality of binomial coefficients by setting \( q = 1 \) in the conclusion of Theorem 8.3. We will show how the ideas in most of the proofs of integrality of \( \binom{n}{k} \) can be adapted to give a proof of Theorem 8.3, sometimes in the weaker form that the coefficients are in \( \mathbb{Z} \) rather than being nonnegative in \( \mathbb{Z} \). That weaker form suffices to see that \( \binom{n}{k}_q = \binom{n}{k}q^k \) is an integer, and it is clearly positive from its definition.

Proof of Theorem 8.3 by combinatorics:

The \( q \)-anologue of (1 + \( x \))^n is (1 + \( x \))(1 + \( qx \))(1 + \( q^2 x \)) \cdots (1 + \( q^{n-1} x \)). By distributivity,

\[
(1 + x)(1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^{n-1} x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}(q)x^k
\]

where \( c_{n,k}(q) \) is a polynomial in \( q \) with nonnegative integer coefficients. Clearly \( c_{n,0}(q) = 1 \) and \( c_{n,n}(q) = q^{0+1+2+\cdots+(n-1)} = q^{n(n-1)/2} \). For \( q \neq 1 \) we will get a formula for \( c_{n,k}(q) \) by computing the left side of (8.3) in two ways. First,

\[
(1 + x)(1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^n x) = (1 + x)(1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^{n-1} x) \cdot (1 + q^n x)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}(q)x^k \right)(1 + q^n x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}(q)x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^n c_{n,k}(q)x^{k+1}
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{n,k}(q)x^k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^n c_{n,k-1}(q)x^k + q^{n+n(n-1)/2}x^{n+1}
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_{n,k}(q) + q^n c_{n,k-1}(q))x^k + q^{n+n(n-1)/2}x^{n+1}.
\]

Second,

\[
(1 + x)(1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^n x) = (1 + x) \cdot (1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^{n-1} x)(1 + q^n x)
\]

\[
= (1 + x) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}(q)(qx)^k \right)
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( q^k c_{n,k}(q) + q^{k-1} c_{n,k-1}(q) \right)x^k + q^{n(n-1)/2+n}x^{n+1}
\]
Equating coefficients of $x^k$ in both formulas, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$c_{n,k}(q) + q^n c_{n,k-1}(q) = q^k c_{n,k}(q) + q^{k-1} c_{n,k-1}(q) \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_{n,k}(q) = \frac{q^n - q^{k-1}}{q^k - 1} c_{n,k-1}(q).$$

(If we take the limit as $q \to 1$, this recursive formula becomes (2.1).) Iterating this recursion $k-1$ more times,

$$c_{n,k}(q) = \frac{(q^n - q^{k-1})(q^n - q^{k-2}) \cdots (q^n - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} c_{n,0}(q)$$

$$= \frac{q^{k-1}(q^n-(k-1))q^{k-2}(q^n-(k-2)) \cdots q^0(q^n - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{q^{(k-1)+(k-2)+\cdots+1}(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}$$

$$= q^{k(k-1)/2} \binom{n}{k}_q,$$

where the last formula comes from (8.2). This proves $q^{k(k-1)/2} \binom{n}{k}_q$ is a polynomial in $q$ with nonnegative integer coefficients when $q \neq 1$. To conclude that $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is also a polynomial in $q$, here are two approaches. Each one treats the previous calculations as if $q$ is an indeterminate.

(1) In the expansion (8.3), $c_{n,k}(q)$ is a sum of products of distinct $q$-powers $k$ at a time, and each of those products has exponent at least $0 + 1 + \cdots + (k-1) = k(k-1)/2$. Therefore $c_{n,k}(q)$ is a polynomial in $q$ that’s divisible by $q^{k(k-1)/2}$, so $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is a polynomial in $q$.

(2) By (8.2), $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is a rational function of $q$ with no $q$-power in its denominator, so when its product with a power of $q$ is a polynomial in $q$, $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is also a polynomial in $q$.

Proof of Theorem 8.3 by recursion:
A recursion for $q$-binomial coefficients that generalizes the Pascal’s triangle recursion for binomial coefficients is

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = q^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + \binom{n-1}{k}_q.$$

Iterating this enough times, we get

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} q^{n-k-j} \binom{n-1-j}{k-1}_q = \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-1} q^{j-(k-1)} \binom{j}{k-1}_q,$$

which generalizes (3.2). If all $q$-binomial coefficients with denominator $k-1$ are polynomials in $q$ with nonnegative integer coefficients then so is each $\binom{n}{k}_q$ by the above formula. Therefore starting from the value $\binom{0}{0}_q = 1$, Theorem 8.3 is proved by induction on $k$.

Proof of Theorem 8.3 by calculus:
A $q$-analogue of the series identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^m} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m+k-1}{k}_x x^k.$$
for $|x| < 1$ and $m \geq 1$ is
\[
\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^{m-1}x)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m+k-1}{k} x^k
\]
for $|x| < 1$, $0 < q < 1$, and $m \geq 1$. Since each $1/(1-q^ix)$ for $|x| < 1$ and $0 < q < 1$ can be expanded into a geometric series $\sum_{k \geq 0} q^k x^k$, the product of these series is a power series in $x$ having coefficients that are polynomials in $q$ with nonnegative integer coefficients. Therefore $(m+k-1 \choose k)_q$ is a polynomial in $q$ with nonnegative integer coefficients, at least when $0 < q < 1$. A polynomial and rational function in $q$ that agree for $0 < q < 1$ must agree for all $q$, so we get Theorem 8.3 using $m = n - k + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 8.3 by number theory:

Treat $q$ as an indeterminate. By (8.2), $(n \choose k)_q$ is a ratio of products of polynomials of the form $q^i - 1$, so the irreducible factors in the numerator and denominator of (8.2) are cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_j(q)$ for various $j$. We will show every cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_j(q)$ has nonnegative multiplicity in $(n \choose k)_q$, so $(n \choose k)_q$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials and therefore is a polynomial with integral coefficients. (This will not tell us the coefficients of that polynomial in $q$ are nonnegative.) For positive integers $i$ and $j$, $\Phi_j(q)$ is a factor of $q^i - 1$ if and only if $j \mid i$, so the multiplicity of $\Phi_j(q)$ as a factor of the polynomial $(n)_j!$ is $\lfloor i/n : j \mid i \rfloor = \lfloor n/j \rfloor$. Therefore the multiplicity of $\Phi_j(q)$ in $(n \choose k)_q = (n)_q!/(k)_q!(n-k)_q!$ is $\lfloor n/j \rfloor - \lfloor k/j \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/j \rfloor$, which has the form $\lfloor x + y \rfloor - \lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor$, so it is 0 or 1. (Thus no $(n \choose k)_q$ has a repeated irreducible factor, which is a contrast to ordinary binomial coefficients $(n \choose k)$ since they often have repeated prime factors. In fact, the largest $n$ for which all $(n \choose k)$ are squarefree is 23. See http://oeis.org/A048278.)

Proof of Theorem 8.3 by group theory:

Let $q = p$ be an arbitrary prime number. We will use finite groups to show $(n \choose k)_{p}$ is a positive integer and then explain why this implies $(n \choose k)_q$ for general $q$ is a polynomial in $q$.

The group $\text{GL}_n(F_p)$ is all the automorphisms of the additive group $F_p^n$. Using linear algebra (counting bases of $F_p^n$), the order of this group is
\[
(p^n - 1)(p^n - p)\cdots(p^n - p^{n-1}) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - 1)\cdots(p - 1)p^{n-1} = (p^n - 1)(p^{n-1} - 1)\cdots(p - 1)p^{n(n-1)/2} = (n)_p!p^{n(n-1)/2}.
\]

Inside $\text{GL}_n(F_p)$, the matrices $A$ that preserve the direct sum decomposition $F_p^k \oplus F_p^{n-k}$ (this means $A$ carries $F_p^k \oplus 0$ to itself and $0 \oplus F_p^{n-k}$ to itself) is a subgroup. This subgroup is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_k(F_p) \times \text{GL}_{n-k}(F_p)$, so its order is $(k)_p!p^{k(k-1)/2}(n-k)_p!p^{(n-k)(n-k-1)/2}$. By Lagrange’s theorem, the ratio
\[
\frac{|\text{GL}_n(F_p)|}{|\text{GL}_k(F_p) \times \text{GL}_{n-k}(F_p)|} = \frac{(n)_p!p^{n(n-1)/2}}{(k)_p!p^{k(k-1)/2}(n-k)_p!p^{(n-k)(n-k-1)/2}} = \frac{(n)_p!}{(k)_p!(n-k)_p!p^{k(n-k)}} = \frac{n}{k}^p^{k(n-k)}.
\]
is an integer. Since \((n)_p!\) and \((k)_p!(n - k)_p!\) are integers that are not divisible by \(p\), we can conclude from \(\binom{n}{k}_p p^k(n-k)_p! \in \mathbb{Z}\) that \(\binom{n}{k}_p \in \mathbb{Z}\).

If a rational function in an indeterminate \(q\) has numerator and denominator that are polynomials in \(q\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\), and its values are in \(\mathbb{Z}\) as \(q\) runs through the prime numbers, then the rational function is a polynomial in \(q\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Q}\). This is a special case of Theorem A.1 below, and it tells us \(\binom{n}{k}_q\) is a polynomial in \(q\). But watch out: a polynomial with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Q}\) that has integral values at primes need not have coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\). For example, \(\frac{1}{2}(q^2 - q)\) has values in \(\mathbb{Z}\) when \(q\) runs through \(\mathbb{Z}\) (not just primes) but its coefficients are not in \(\mathbb{Z}\). To show \(\binom{n}{k}_q\) has coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\), we can use more information about \(q\)-binomial coefficients: they are a ratio of monic polynomials with integer coefficients, by (8.2). It can be shown (see Theorem A.1) that a polynomial with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Q}\) that is equal to a ratio of monic polynomials with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\) must itself have coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\). This is why \(\binom{n}{k}_q\) has coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\), but we don’t learn why the coefficients are nonnegative.

**Remark 8.4.** The proof of integrality of \(\binom{n}{k}_q\) in Section 7, using polynomial functions and the discrete difference operation \(f(x + 1) - f(x)\) to reduce degrees, has a \(q\)-analogue: there are \(q\)-discrete difference operations that reduce “\(q\)-degrees” (e.g., the sequence \(f(n) = q^{kn}\) for each \(k \geq 0\) has \(q\)-degree \(k\)). We have already given enough other proofs of Theorem 8.3 that we omit the proof analogous to the method in Section 7.

**Appendix A. A criterion for a rational function to be a polynomial**

We used the theorem below in the proof of Theorem 8.3 by group theory.

**Theorem A.1.** If \(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) are polynomials with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\) and \(A(n)/B(n) \in \mathbb{Z}\) for infinitely many integers \(n\), then \(A(x)/B(x)\) is a polynomial with rational coefficients.

If \(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) have leading coefficient 1 then \(A(x)/B(x)\) is a polynomial with integral coefficients.

This is not saying \(B(x)\) is constant, since \(A(x)/B(x)\) may not be reduced at first. For example, \((x^4 - 1)/(x^2 - 1)\) and \((x^4 - 1)/(x^2 + 1)\) fit the conditions of the theorem.

**Proof.** The result is obvious if \(A(x)\) is 0, so assume \(A(x) \neq 0\). Polynomials with integral coefficients have unique factorization, so we can suppose \(A(x)/B(x)\) is in reduced form. Then \(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) have no nonconstant common factor in \(\mathbb{Q}[x]\), so \(\gcd(A(x), B(x)) = 1\) in \(\mathbb{Q}[x]\). By Bezout’s identity, \(A(x)U(x) + B(x)V(x) = 1\) for some \(U(x), V(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]\). Multiplying through by a common denominator of the coefficients of \(U(x)\) and \(V(x)\), there are \(\tilde{U}(x)\) and \(\tilde{V}(x)\) in \(\mathbb{Z}[x]\) and \(c \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}\) such that

\[
(A.1) \quad A(x)\tilde{U}(x) + B(x)\tilde{V}(x) = c.
\]

Let \(S\) be the set of \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(A(n)/B(n) \in \mathbb{Z}\), so \(S\) is infinite. Thus \(S\) contains \(n\) with \(|n| \to \infty\). For \(n \in S\) we have \(B(n) \mid A(n)\), so (A.1) implies \(B(n) \mid c\). If \(B(x)\) is constant, then \(|B(n)| \to \infty\) as \(|n| \to \infty\), but a nonzero integer doesn’t have factors that have arbitrarily large absolute value. Therefore \(B(x)\) has to be constant, so \(A(x)/B(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]\).

Suppose now that \(A(x)\) and \(B(x)\) have leading coefficient 1. Then we can do polynomial division with integral coefficients: \(A(x) = B(x)q(x) + r(x)\) where \(q(x)\) and \(r(x)\) have integral coefficients and \(r(x) = 0\) or \(\deg r < \deg B\). Since \(B(x) \mid A(x)\) in \(\mathbb{Q}[x]\), the uniqueness of quotient and remainder in \(\mathbb{Q}[x]\) implies \(r(x) = 0\), so \(A(x)/B(x) = q(x)\) is a polynomial with integral coefficients. 
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