

CHARACTERS OF FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

KEITH CONRAD

1. INTRODUCTION

The theme we will study is an analogue on finite abelian groups of Fourier analysis on \mathbf{R} . A Fourier series on the real line is the following type of series in sines and cosines:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \cos(nx) + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n \sin(nx).$$

This is 2π -periodic. Since $e^{inx} = \cos(nx) + i \sin(nx)$ and $e^{-inx} = \cos(nx) - i \sin(nx)$, a Fourier series can also be written in terms of complex exponentials:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n e^{inx},$$

where the summation runs over all integers ($c_0 = a_0$, $c_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_n - b_n i)$ for $n > 0$, and $c_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_{|n|} + b_{|n|} i)$ for $n < 0$). The convenient algebraic property of e^{inx} , which is not shared by sines and cosines, is that it is a group homomorphism from \mathbf{R} to the unit circle $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$:

$$e^{in(x+x')} = e^{inx} e^{inx'}.$$

We now replace the real line \mathbf{R} with a finite abelian group. Here is the analogue of the functions e^{inx} .

Definition 1.1. A *character* of a finite abelian group G is a homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$.

We will usually write abstract groups multiplicatively, so $\chi(g_1 g_2) = \chi(g_1) \chi(g_2)$ and $\chi(1) = 1$.

Example 1.2. The *trivial* character of G is the homomorphism $\mathbf{1}_G$ defined by $\mathbf{1}_G(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Example 1.3. Let G be cyclic of order 4 with generator γ . Since $\gamma^4 = 1$, a character χ of G has $\chi(\gamma)^4 = 1$, so χ takes only four possible values at γ , namely 1, i , -1 , or $-i$. Once $\chi(\gamma)$ is known, the value of χ elsewhere is determined by multiplicativity: $\chi(\gamma^j) = \chi(\gamma)^j$. So we get four characters, whose values can be placed in a table. See Table 1.

	1	γ	γ^2	γ^3
$\mathbf{1}_G$	1	1	1	1
χ_1	1	i	-1	$-i$
χ_2	1	-1	1	-1
χ_3	1	$-i$	-1	i

TABLE 1.

When G has size n and $g \in G$, for each character χ of G we have $\chi(g)^n = \chi(g^n) = \chi(1) = 1$, so the values of χ lie among the n th roots of unity in S^1 . More precisely, the order of $\chi(g)$ divides the order of g (which divides $|G|$).

Characters on finite abelian groups were first studied in number theory, which is a source of many interesting finite abelian groups. For instance, Dirichlet used characters of the group $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$ to prove that when $(a, m) = 1$ there are infinitely many primes $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$. The quadratic reciprocity law of elementary number theory is concerned with a deep property of a particular character, the Legendre symbol. Fourier series on finite abelian groups have applications in engineering: signal processing (the fast Fourier transform [1, Chap. 9]) and error-correcting codes [1, Chap. 11].

A context for appreciating this account of characters on finite abelian groups is in Section 2 on Fourier analysis on the real line. In Section 3 we will run through some properties of characters of a finite abelian group G and introduce its dual groups. In particular, we will see that G is isomorphic to its dual group, but not naturally, and G is naturally isomorphic to its double-dual group (Pontryagin duality). Section 4 uses characters of G to develop a finite analogue of Fourier series. In Section 6 we use characters of $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ to count solutions to a congruence mod p . In Section 5 we use characters to prove a structure theorem for finite abelian groups. In Section 7 we look at duality on group homomorphisms. Characters are used in Section 8 to factor the group determinant of a finite abelian group. In Section 9, we decompose linear G -actions into common eigenspaces indexed by characters of G .

Our notation is completely standard, but we make two remarks about it. For a complex-valued function $f(x)$, the complex-conjugate function is usually denoted $\overline{f}(x)$ instead of $\overline{f(x)}$ to stress that conjugation creates a new function. (We sometimes use the overline notation also to mean the reduction \overline{g} into a quotient group.) For $n \geq 1$, we write μ_n for the group of n th roots of unity in the unit circle S^1 . It is a cyclic group of size n .

Exercises.

1. Make a character table for $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$, with columns labeled by elements of the group and rows labeled by characters, as in Table 1.
2. Let G be a finite nonabelian simple group. (Examples include A_n for $n \geq 5$.) Show the only group homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$ is the trivial map.

2. CLASSICAL FOURIER ANALYSIS

This section serves as motivation for our later treatment of finite abelian groups, where there will be no convergence issues (just finite sums!), so we take a soft approach and sidestep analytic technicalities that a serious treatment of Fourier analysis on \mathbf{R} demands.

Fourier analysis for periodic functions on \mathbf{R} is based on the functions e^{inx} for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Every “reasonably nice” function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ of period 2π can be expanded into a series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n e^{inx},$$

where the sum runs over \mathbf{Z} and the n th Fourier coefficient c_n can be recovered as an integral:

$$(2.1) \quad c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

This formula for c_n can be explained by replacing $f(x)$ in (2.1) by its Fourier series and integrating termwise (for “reasonably nice” functions this termwise integration is analytically

justifiable), using the formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imx} e^{-inx} dx = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

An important link between a function $f(x)$ and its Fourier coefficients c_n is given by Parseval's formula

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |c_n|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Rather than working with functions $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ having period 2π , formulas look cleaner using functions $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ having period 1. The basic exponentials become $e^{2\pi inx}$ and the Fourier series and coefficients for f are

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi inx}, \quad c_n = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi inx} dx.$$

Parseval's formula becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |c_n|^2 = \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Note c_n in (2.2) is not the same as c_n in (2.1).

In addition to Fourier series there are Fourier integrals. The *Fourier transform* of a function f that decays rapidly at $\pm\infty$ is the function $\widehat{f}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by the integral formula

$$\widehat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx.$$

The analogue of the expansion (2.2) of a periodic function into a Fourier series is the Fourier inversion formula, which expresses f in terms of its Fourier transform \widehat{f} :

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{2\pi ixy} dy.$$

Define a Hermitian inner product of two functions f_1 and f_2 from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{C} by the integral

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx \in \mathbf{C},$$

Plancherel's theorem compares the inner product of two functions and the inner product of their Fourier transforms:

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle.$$

In particular, when $f_1 = f_2 = f$ the result is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx,$$

which is called Parseval's formula since it is an analogue of (2.3).

The *convolution* of two functions f_1 and f_2 from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{C} is a new function from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{C} defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(t) f_2(x - t) dt$$

and the Fourier transform turns this convolution into pointwise multiplication:

$$\widehat{f_1 * f_2}(y) = \widehat{f_1}(y) \widehat{f_2}(y).$$

Example 2.1. A Gaussian is a function of the form ae^{-bx^2} , where $b > 0$. For example, the Gaussian $(1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-(1/2)x^2}$ is important in probability theory. The Fourier transform of a Gaussian is another Gaussian and the convolution of two Gaussians is another Gaussian:

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} ae^{-bx^2} e^{-2\pi ixy} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} ae^{-\pi^2 y^2/b}$$

and

$$f_1(x) = e^{-b_1 x^2}, \quad f_2(x) = e^{-b_2 x^2} \implies (f_1 * f_2)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b_1 + b_2}} e^{-(b_1 b_2 / (b_1 + b_2)) x^2}.$$

The formula (2.5) says that a highly peaked Gaussian (large b) has a Fourier transform that is a spread out Gaussian (small π^2/b) and *vice versa*. More generally, a function and its Fourier transform can't both be highly localized; this is a mathematical incarnation of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle from physics.¹

When $b = \pi$, (2.5) tells us that $ae^{-\pi x^2}$ is its own Fourier transform. Functions equal to their Fourier transform are called *self-dual*, and $e^{-\pi x^2}$ is the simplest nonzero example.

A link between Fourier series and Fourier integrals is the *Poisson summation formula*: for a “nice” function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that decays rapidly enough at $\pm\infty$,

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \widehat{f}(n),$$

where $\widehat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx$. For example, when $f(x) = e^{-bx^2}$ (with $b > 0$), the Poisson summation formula says

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-bn^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} e^{-\pi^2 n^2/b}.$$

To prove the Poisson summation formula, we use Fourier series. Periodize $f(x)$ as

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f(x + n).$$

Since $F(x + 1) = F(x)$, write F as a Fourier series: $F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi inx}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \int_0^1 F(x) e^{-2\pi inx} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} f(x + m) \right) e^{-2\pi inx} dx \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_0^1 f(x + m) e^{-2\pi inx} dx \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_m^{m+1} f(x) e^{-2\pi inx} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi inx} dx \\ &= \widehat{f}(n). \end{aligned}$$

¹Heisenberg discovered his uncertainty principle in 1926 and published it in 1927, but Norbert Wiener lectured on a purely mathematical version of this idea in 1925 [5, pp. 106–108].

Therefore the expansion of $F(x)$ into a Fourier series is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f(x+n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x},$$

which becomes the Poisson summation formula (2.6) by setting $x = 0$.

If we replace a sum over \mathbf{Z} with a sum over a one-dimensional lattice $L = a\mathbf{Z}$ in \mathbf{R} , where $a \neq 0$, the Poisson summation formula becomes

$$\sum_{\lambda \in L} f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{|a|} \sum_{\mu \in L^\perp} \widehat{f}(\mu),$$

where $L^\perp = (1/a)\mathbf{Z}$ is the “dual lattice”:

$$L^\perp = \{\mu \in \mathbf{R} : e^{2\pi i \lambda \mu} = 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in L\}.$$

For example, $\mathbf{Z}^\perp = \mathbf{Z}$.

There are several conventions for the definition of the Fourier transform as well as the inner product and convolution of functions. Tables 2 and 3 collect a number of different 2π -conventions. The first two columns of Tables 2 and 3 are definitions and the other columns are theorems.

$\widehat{f}(y)$	$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$	$f(x)$	$\langle \widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 \rangle$
$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x y} dx$	$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx$	$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{2\pi i x y} dy$	$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$
$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i x y} dx$	$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx$	$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{i x y} dy$	$2\pi \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i x y} dx$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{i x y} dy$	$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$

TABLE 2.

$\widehat{f}(y)$	$(f_1 * f_2)(x)$	$\widehat{f_1 * f_2}(y)$
$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x y} dx$	$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(y) f_2(x-y) dy$	$\widehat{f_1}(y) \widehat{f_2}(y)$
$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i x y} dx$	$\int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(y) f_2(x-y) dy$	$\widehat{f_1}(y) \widehat{f_2}(y)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i x y} dx$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_1(t) f_2(x-t) dt$	$\widehat{f_1}(y) \widehat{f_2}(y)$

TABLE 3.

When the Fourier transform is defined using $\widehat{f}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i x y} dx$, the function $e^{-\pi x^2}$ is no longer self-dual, but $e^{-(1/2)x^2}$ is self-dual. You need to know how the Fourier transform is defined to say that a particular function is self-dual.

Exercises.

1. Without dwelling on analytic subtleties, check from Fourier inversion that $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(x) = f(-x)$ (if the Fourier transform is defined suitably).
2. If f is a real-valued even function, show its Fourier transform is also real-valued and even (assuming the Fourier transform of f is meaningful).

3. For a function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $c \in \mathbf{R}$, let $g(x) = f(x + c)$. Define the Fourier transform of a function h by $\widehat{h}(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} h(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx$. If f has a Fourier transform, show g has Fourier transform $\widehat{g}(y) = e^{2\pi icy} \widehat{f}(y)$.
4. The Poisson summation formula over \mathbf{R} was obtained by setting $x = 0$ in (2.7). Conversely, show that (2.7) for the function f follows from the Poisson summation formula for the function $g(t) = f(t + x)$.
5. Assuming the Fourier inversion formula holds for a definition of the Fourier transform as in Table 2, check that for all α and β in \mathbf{R}^\times that if we set

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(y) = \alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) e^{-i\beta xy} dx$$

for all x then

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi\alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathcal{F}f)(y) e^{i\beta xy} dy.$$

(If $\beta = 2\pi\alpha^2$ then these two equations are symmetric in the roles of f and $\mathcal{F}f$ except for a sign in the exponential term.) Considering $\mathcal{F}f$ to be the Fourier transform of f , show $e^{-(1/2)\beta x^2}$ is self-dual.

3. FINITE ABELIAN GROUP CHARACTERS

We leave the real line and turn to the setting of finite abelian groups G . Our interest shifts from the functions e^{inx} to characters: homomorphisms from $G \rightarrow S^1$. The construction of characters of these groups begins with the case of cyclic groups.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite cyclic group of size n with a chosen generator γ . There are exactly n characters of G , each determined by sending γ to the different n th roots of unity in \mathbf{C} .*

Proof. We mimic Example 1.3, where G is cyclic of size 4. Since γ generates G , a character is determined by its value on γ and that value must be an n th root of unity (not necessarily of exact order n , e.g., $\mathbf{1}_G(\gamma) = 1$), so there are at most n characters. We now write down n characters.

Let ζ be an n th root of unity in \mathbf{C} . Set $\chi(\gamma^j) = \zeta^j$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. This formula is well-defined (if $\gamma^j = \gamma^k$ for two different integer exponents j and k , we have $j \equiv k \pmod{n}$ so $\zeta^j = \zeta^k$), and χ is a homomorphism. Of course χ depends on ζ . As ζ changes, we get different characters (their values at γ are changing), so in total we have n characters. \square

To handle characters of non-cyclic groups, the following lemma is critical.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $H \subset G$ a proper subgroup, and $\chi: H \rightarrow S^1$ a character of H . For $g \in G - H$, there is an extension of χ to a character on $\langle H, g \rangle$.*

Proof. We want to extend χ to a character $\tilde{\chi}$ of $\langle H, g \rangle$.

What is a possible value for $\tilde{\chi}(g)$? Since $g \notin H$, $\tilde{\chi}(g)$ is not initially defined. But some nonzero power of g is in H (e.g., $g^{|G|} = 1 \in H$), and on these powers χ is defined. Pick $d \geq 1$ minimal with $g^d \in H$. That is, d is the order of g in G/H , so $d = [(H, g) : H]$. If there is a character $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\langle H, g \rangle$ that extends χ on H then $\tilde{\chi}(g)$ must be an d -th root of $\chi(g^d)$ since we must have $\tilde{\chi}(g)^d = \tilde{\chi}(g^d) = \chi(g^d)$. That is our clue: define $\tilde{\chi}(g) \in S^1$ to be a solution to $z^d = \chi(g^d)$:

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\chi}(g)^d = \chi(g^d).$$

Once we have chosen $\tilde{\chi}(g)$ to satisfy (3.1), define $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\langle H, g \rangle$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\chi}(hg^i) := \chi(h)\tilde{\chi}(g)^i.$$

This formula covers all possible elements of $\langle H, g \rangle$, but is $\tilde{\chi}$ well-defined? Perhaps H and $\langle g \rangle$ overlap nontrivially, so the expression of an element of $\langle H, g \rangle$ in the form hg^i is not unique. We have to show this doesn't lead to an inconsistency in the value of $\tilde{\chi}$ in (3.2). Suppose $hg^i = h'g^{i'}$. Then $g^{i-i'} \in H$, so $i' \equiv i \pmod{d}$ since d is the order of g in G/H . Write $i' = i + dd'$, so $h = h'a^{i'-i} = h'g^{dd'}$. The terms h, h' , and g^d are in H , so

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(h')\tilde{\chi}(g)^{i'} &= \chi(h')\tilde{\chi}(g)^i\tilde{\chi}(g)^{dd'} \\ &= \chi(h')\tilde{\chi}(g)^i\chi(g^d)^{d'} \text{ since } \tilde{\chi}(g)^d = \chi(g^d) \\ &= \chi(h'g^{dd'})\tilde{\chi}(g)^i \\ &= \chi(h)\tilde{\chi}(g)^i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\chi}: \langle H, g \rangle \rightarrow S^1$ is a well-defined function and it is easily checked to be a homomorphism. It restricts to χ on H . \square

Theorem 3.3. *For a finite abelian group G and subgroup H , each character of H can be extended to a character of G .*

Proof. Let $\chi: H \rightarrow S^1$ be a character of H .

Since G is finite, it has a finite generating set $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ (e.g., $\{g_i\}$ could be a listing of all the elements of G). Therefore we can build up a tower of subgroups from H to G by adjoining the elements g_i one at a time:

$$H \subset \langle H, g_1 \rangle \subset \langle H, g_1, g_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle H, g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = G.$$

Each step along this tower has the form $H_i \subset \langle H_i, g_i \rangle$, where $H_0 = H$. By applying Lemma 3.2 at each step of the tower, χ can be extended as a character from H to H_1 to H_2 , and so on up to $H_k = G$. \square

Let's refine this to count the number of extensions of a character from H to G .

Theorem 3.4. *For a finite abelian group G and subgroup H , each character of H can be extended to a character of G in $[G : H]$ ways.*

Proof. We will induct on the index $[G : H]$. The result is clear when $[G : H] = 1$, i.e., $H = G$, so suppose $[G : H] > 1$ and the theorem is proved for characters on subgroups of index smaller than $[G : H]$.

Pick $g \in G$ with $g \notin H$, so

$$H \subset \langle H, g \rangle \subset G.$$

To extend a character $\chi: H \rightarrow S^1$ to G , we at least need to be able to extend χ to a character $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\langle H, g \rangle$. Let's count the number of ways to do that. Then we will use induction to count the number of extension of each character from $\langle H, g \rangle$ all the way up to G .

Let d be the smallest positive integer such that $g^d \in H$. An extension of χ on H to a character $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\langle H, g \rangle$ is determined by $\tilde{\chi}(g)$, and this value has to satisfy the condition $\tilde{\chi}(g)^d = \chi(g^d)$. Each number in S^1 has d different d -th roots in S^1 , so there are d potential values for $\tilde{\chi}(g)$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 shows all of them really work.

The number of choices of $\tilde{\chi}$ extending χ is the number of choices for $\tilde{\chi}(g)$, which is $d = [\langle H, g \rangle : H]$. Since $[G : \langle H, g \rangle] < [G : H]$, by induction on the index there are

$[G : \langle H, g \rangle]$ extensions of each $\tilde{\chi}$ to a character of G , so the number of extensions of a character on H to a character on G is $[G : \langle H, g \rangle][\langle H, g \rangle : H] = [G : H]$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *If $g \neq 1$ in a finite abelian group G then $\chi(g) \neq 1$ for some character χ of G . The number of characters of G is $|G|$.*

Proof. The cyclic group $\langle g \rangle$ is nontrivial, say of size n , so $n > 1$. In S^1 there is a cyclic subgroup of order n , namely the group μ_n of n -th roots of unity. There is an isomorphism $\langle g \rangle \cong \mu_n$, which can be viewed as a character of $\langle g \rangle$. By Theorem 3.3, this character of $\langle g \rangle$ extends to a character of G and does not send g to 1.

To show G has $|G|$ characters, apply Theorem 3.4 with H the trivial subgroup. \square

We have used two important features of S^1 as the target group for characters: for each $d \geq 1$ the d th power map on S^1 is d -to-1 (proof of Theorem 3.4) and for each $n \geq 1$ there is a cyclic subgroup of order n in S^1 (proof of Theorem 3.5).

Corollary 3.6. *If G is a finite abelian group and $g_1 \neq g_2$ in G then there is a character of G that takes different values at g_1 and g_2 .*

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.5 to $g = g_1 g_2^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 3.6 shows the characters of G “separate” the elements of G : different elements of the group admit a character taking different values on them.

Corollary 3.7. *If G is a finite abelian group and $H \subset G$ is a subgroup and $g \in G$ with $g \notin H$ then there is a character of G that is trivial on H and not equal to 1 at g .*

Proof. We work in the group G/H , where $\bar{g} \neq \bar{1}$. By Theorem 3.5 there is a character of G/H that is not 1 at \bar{g} . Composing this character with the reduction map $G \rightarrow G/H$ yields a character of G that is trivial on H and not equal to 1 at g . \square

It is easy to find functions on G that separate elements without using characters. For $g \in G$, define $\delta_g: G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_g(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = g, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq g. \end{cases}$$

These functions separate elements of the group, but characters do this too and have better algebraic properties: they are group homomorphisms.

Remark 3.8. Nowhere in the proof of Theorem 3.4 did we use the finiteness of G . What mattered was finiteness of $[G : H]$. Infinite abelian groups like \mathbf{Z} or \mathbf{Z}^n can contain finite-index subgroups, so it’s worth noting that we really proved that for each abelian group G , a character on a finite-index subgroup H extends in $[G : H]$ ways to a character on G . This result for finite-index subgroups of infinite G has applications to Hecke characters in algebraic number theory.

Using Zorn’s lemma (the axiom of choice), not only the finiteness of $|G|$ but also the finiteness of $[G : H]$ can be removed: a character of a subgroup H of an abelian group G can be extended to a character of G (but the counting aspect with $[G : H]$ is no longer meaningful). In particular, Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 are true for all abelian groups G .

Our definition of a character makes sense on nonabelian groups, but there will not be enough such characters for Theorem 3.5 to hold if G is finite and nonabelian: a homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$ must equal 1 on the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$, which is a nontrivial

subgroup, so such homomorphisms can't distinguish elements in $[G, G]$ from each other. If $g \notin [G, G]$ then in the finite abelian group $G/[G, G]$ the coset of g is nontrivial so there is a character $G/[G, G] \rightarrow S^1$ that's nontrivial on \bar{g} . Composing this character with the reduction map $G \rightarrow G/[G, G]$ produces a homomorphism $G \rightarrow S^1$ that is nontrivial on g . Therefore $[G, G] = \bigcap_{\chi} \ker \chi$, where the intersection runs over all homomorphisms $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$. This gives a "natural" explanation of why the commutator subgroup is normal in terms of kernels of homomorphisms: kernels are normal and the intersection of normal subgroups is normal. We put the word natural in quotes because appealing to the group $G/[G, G]$ in part of the argument means we had to use the normality of $[G, G]$ anyway. (Using Zorn's lemma as in Remark 3.8, the intersection formula for $[G, G]$ applies to all groups, not just finite groups.)

Definition 3.9. For a character χ on a finite abelian group G , the *conjugate character* is the function $\bar{\chi}: G \rightarrow S^1$ given by $\bar{\chi}(g) := \overline{\chi(g)}$.

Since each complex number z with $|z| = 1$ has $\bar{z} = 1/z$, $\bar{\chi}(g) = \chi(g)^{-1} = \chi(g^{-1})$.

Definition 3.10. The *dual group*, or *character group*, of a finite abelian group G is the set of homomorphisms $G \rightarrow S^1$ with the group law of pointwise multiplication of functions: $(\chi\psi)(g) = \chi(g)\psi(g)$. The dual group of G is denoted \widehat{G} .

The trivial character of G is the identity in \widehat{G} and the inverse of a character is its conjugate character. Note \widehat{G} is abelian since multiplication in \mathbf{C}^\times is commutative.

Theorem 3.5 says in part that

$$(3.4) \quad |G| = |\widehat{G}|.$$

In fact, the groups G and \widehat{G} are *isomorphic*. First let's check this on cyclic groups.

Theorem 3.11. *If G is cyclic then $G \cong \widehat{G}$ as groups.*

Proof. We will show \widehat{G} is cyclic. Then since G and \widehat{G} have the same size they are isomorphic.

Let $n = |G|$ and γ be a generator of G . Set $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$ by $\chi(\gamma^j) = e^{2\pi i j/n}$ for all j . For other characters $\psi \in \widehat{G}$, we have $\psi(\gamma) = e^{2\pi i k/n}$ for some integer k , so $\psi(\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)^k$. Then

$$\psi(\gamma^j) = \psi(\gamma)^j = \chi(\gamma)^{jk} = \chi(\gamma^j)^k,$$

which shows $\psi = \chi^k$. Therefore χ generates \widehat{G} . □

Lemma 3.12. *If A and B are finite abelian groups, there is an isomorphism $\widehat{A \times B} \cong \widehat{A} \times \widehat{B}$.*

Proof. Let χ be a character on $A \times B$. Identify the subgroups $A \times \{1\}$ and $\{1\} \times B$ of $A \times B$ with A and B in the obvious way. Let χ_A and χ_B be the restrictions of χ to A and B respectively, *i.e.*, $\chi_A(a) = \chi(a, 1)$ and $\chi_B(b) = \chi(1, b)$. Then χ_A and χ_B are characters of A and B and $\chi(a, b) = \chi((a, 1)(1, b)) = \chi(a, 1)\chi(1, b) = \chi_A(a)\chi_B(b)$. So we get a map

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{A \times B} \rightarrow \widehat{A} \times \widehat{B}$$

by sending χ to (χ_A, χ_B) . It is left to the reader to check (3.5) is a group homomorphism. Its kernel is trivial since if χ_A and χ_B are trivial characters then $\chi(a, b) = \chi_A(a)\chi_B(b) = 1$, so χ is trivial. Both sides of (3.5) have the same size by (3.4), so (3.5) is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 3.13. *If G is a finite abelian group then G is isomorphic to \widehat{G} .*

Proof. The case when G is cyclic was Theorem 3.11. Lemma 3.12 extends easily to several factors in a direct product:

$$(3.6) \quad (H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r)^\wedge \cong \widehat{H}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{H}_r.$$

When H_i is cyclic, $\widehat{H}_i \cong H_i$, so (3.6) tells us that the dual group of $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r$ is isomorphic to $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r$. Every finite abelian group is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups, so the dual group of a finite abelian group is isomorphic to itself. \square

Although G and \widehat{G} are isomorphic groups, there is not a *natural* isomorphism between them, even when G is cyclic. For instance, to prove $G \cong \widehat{G}$ when G is cyclic we had to *choose* a generator. If we change the generator, then the isomorphism changes.²

The double-dual group $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ is the dual group of \widehat{G} . Since G and \widehat{G} are isomorphic, G and $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ are isomorphic. However, while there isn't a natural isomorphism from G to $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$, there *is* a natural isomorphism from G to $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$. The point is that there is a natural way to map G to its double-dual group: associate to each $g \in G$ the function “evaluate at g ,” which is the function $\widehat{G} \rightarrow S^1$ given by $\chi \mapsto \chi(g)$. Here g is fixed and χ varies. This is a character of \widehat{G} , since $(\chi_1\chi_2)(g) = \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g)$ by definition.

Theorem 3.14. *Let G be a finite abelian group. The homomorphism $G \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{G}}$ associating to $g \in G$ the function “evaluate at g ” is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since a finite abelian group and its dual group have the same size, a group and its double-dual group have the same size, so it suffices to show this homomorphism is injective. If $g \in G$ is in the kernel then every element of \widehat{G} is 1 at g , so $g = 1$ by Theorem 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.14 is called *Pontryagin duality*. This label actually applies to a more general result about characters of locally compact abelian groups. Finite abelian groups are a special case, where difficult analytic techniques can be replaced by counting arguments. The isomorphism between G and its double-dual group given by Pontryagin duality lets us think about every finite abelian group G as a dual group (namely the dual group of \widehat{G}).

The isomorphism in Pontryagin duality is natural: it does not depend on *ad hoc* choices (unlike the isomorphism between a finite abelian group and its dual group).

To illustrate Pontryagin duality, consider the following theorem.

Theorem 3.15. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

- a) *For $g \in G$, $g^m = 1$ if and only if $\chi(g) = 1$ for every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ that is an m th power in \widehat{G} .*
- b) *For $g \in G$, g is an m th power in G if and only if $\chi(g) = 1$ for every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ satisfying $\chi^m = \mathbf{1}_G$.*

Proof. a) If $g^m = 1$ and $\chi = \psi^m$ for some $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ then

$$\chi(g) = \psi^m(g) = \psi(g)^m = \psi(g^m) = \psi(1) = 1.$$

Conversely, suppose $\chi(g) = 1$ whenever $\chi = \psi^m$ for some $\psi \in \widehat{G}$. Then for all $\psi \in \widehat{G}$ we have $1 = \psi^m(g) = \psi(g^m)$, so $g^m = 1$ by Theorem 3.5.

²If G is trivial or of order 2, then it has a unique generator, so in that case we could say the isomorphism $G \cong \widehat{G}$ is canonical.

b) If $g = x^m$ for some $x \in G$ then for every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\chi^m = \mathbf{1}_G$ we have

$$\chi(g) = \chi(x)^m = \chi^m(x) = 1.$$

Conversely, assume $\chi(g) = 1$ for all χ such that $\chi^m = \mathbf{1}_G$. Such χ are identically 1 on the subgroup G^m of m th powers in G . Conversely, every character of G that is trivial on the subgroup G^m has m th power $\mathbf{1}_G$ (why?). Therefore $\chi(g) = 1$ for all χ in \widehat{G} that are trivial on G^m , so $g \in G^m$ by Corollary 3.7. \square

Since Theorem 3.15 is a theorem about *all* finite abelian groups, by Pontryagin duality we can swap the roles of G and \widehat{G} in the theorem. Part a is equivalent to

$$\chi^m = \mathbf{1}_G \iff \chi(g) = 1 \text{ for every } g \in G \text{ such that } g = x^m \text{ for some } x \in G$$

and part b is equivalent to

$$\chi \text{ is an } m\text{th power in } \widehat{G} \iff \chi(g) = 1 \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ such that } g^m = 1.$$

Exercises.

1. Let's find the characters of the additive group $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^r$, an r -fold direct product.

(a) For $k \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$, let $\chi_k: \mathbf{Z}/(m) \rightarrow S^1$ by

$$\chi_k(j) = e^{2\pi ijk/m},$$

so $\chi_k(1) = e^{2\pi ik/m}$. Show $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-1}$ are all the characters of $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ and $\chi_k \chi_l = \chi_{k+l}$.

(b) Let $r \geq 1$. For r -tuples \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} in $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^r$, let

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_r b_r \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$$

be the usual dot product. For $\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbf{Z}/(m))^r$, let $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{j}) = e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{k})/m}$. Show the functions $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ are all the characters of $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^r$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{k}} \chi_{\mathbf{l}} = \chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}}$.

2. Show the following are equivalent properties of a character χ : $\chi(g) = \pm 1$ for all g , $\bar{\chi}(g) = \chi(g)$ for all g , and $\chi^2 = \mathbf{1}_G$.

3. Describe the error in the following bogus proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $m = [G : H]$ and pick a set of coset representatives g_1, \dots, g_m for G/H . Given a character χ on H , define $\tilde{\chi}$ on G by first picking the m ($= [G : H]$) values $\tilde{\chi}(g_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and then writing each $g \in G$ in the (unique) form $g_i h$ and defining $\tilde{\chi}(g) = \tilde{\chi}(g_i) \chi(h)$. This defines $\tilde{\chi}$ on G , and since we had to make m choices there are m characters.

4. Let G be a finite abelian group of order n and $g \in G$ have order m . Show

$$\prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} (1 - \chi(g)T) = (1 - T^m)^{n/m}.$$

5. For finite nonabelian G , show the characters of G (that is, homomorphisms $G \rightarrow S^1$) separate elements modulo $[G, G]$: $\chi(g_1) = \chi(g_2)$ for all χ if and only if $g_1 = g_2$ in $G/[G, G]$.

6. This exercise will give an interpretation of characters as eigenvectors. For a finite abelian group G and $g \in G$, let $T_g: L(G) \rightarrow L(G)$ by $(T_g f)(x) = f(gx)$.

(a) Show the T_g 's are commuting linear transformations and each character of G is an eigenvector of each T_g .

(b) If f is a simultaneous eigenvector of all the T_g 's, show $f(1) \neq 0$ (if $f(1) = 0$ conclude f is identically zero, but the zero vector is not an eigenvector) and then

after rescaling f so $f(1) = 1$ deduce that f is a character of G . Thus the characters of G are the simultaneous eigenvectors of the T_g 's, suitably normalized.

(c) Show the T_g 's are each diagonalizable. Deduce from this and parts (a) and (b) that \widehat{G} is a basis of $L(G)$, so $|\widehat{G}| = \dim L(G) = |G|$. (This gives a different proof that G and \widehat{G} have the same size.)

7. For a subgroup H of a finite abelian group G , let

$$H^\perp = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi = 1 \text{ on } H\}.$$

These are the characters of G that are trivial on H . For example, $G^\perp = \{1_G\}$ and $\{1\}^\perp = \widehat{G}$. Note $H^\perp \subset \widehat{G}$ and H^\perp depends on H and G .

Show H^\perp is a subgroup of \widehat{G} , it is isomorphic to $\widehat{G/H}$, and $\widehat{G}/(H^\perp) \cong \widehat{H}$. In particular, $|H|^\perp = [G : H]$.

8. Let G be finite abelian and $H \subset G$ be a subgroup.

(a) Viewing $H^{\perp\perp} = (H^\perp)^\perp$ in G using Pontryagin duality, show $H^{\perp\perp} = H$. (Hint: The inclusion in one direction is easy. Count sizes for the other inclusion.)

(b) Show for each m dividing $|G|$ that

$$|\{H \subset G : |H| = m\}| = |\{H \subset G : [G : H] = m\}|$$

by associating H to H^\perp and using a (fixed) isomorphism of G with \widehat{G} .

(c) For a finite abelian group G , part b says the number of subgroups of G with index 2 is equal to the number of elements of G with order 2. Use this idea to count the number of subgroups of $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$ with index 2. (The answer depends on the number of odd prime factors of m and the highest power of 2 dividing m .)

(d) Show, for a prime p , that the number of subspaces of $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^n$ with dimension d equals the number of subspaces with dimension $n - d$.

9. For a finite abelian group G , let $G[n] = \{g \in G : g^n = 1\}$ and $G^n = \{g^n : g \in G\}$. Both are subgroups of G . Prove $G[n]^\perp = (\widehat{G})^n$ and $(G^n)^\perp = \widehat{G}[n]$ in \widehat{G} .

4. FINITE FOURIER SERIES

We will introduce the analogue of Fourier series on finite abelian groups.

Let G be a finite abelian group. Set

$$L(G) = \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\},$$

the \mathbf{C} -valued functions on G . This is a \mathbf{C} -vector space of functions. Every $f \in L(G)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the delta-functions δ_g from (3.3):

$$(4.1) \quad f = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \delta_g.$$

Indeed, evaluate both sides at each $x \in G$ and we get the same value. The functions δ_g span $L(G)$ by (4.1) and they are linearly independent: if $\sum_g a_g \delta_g = 0$ then evaluating the sum at $x \in G$ shows $a_x = 0$. Thus the functions δ_g are a basis of $L(G)$, so $\dim L(G) = |G|$.

The next theorem is the first step leading to an expression for each δ_g as a linear combination of characters of G , which will lead to a Fourier series expansion of f . It is the first time we *add* character values.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Then*

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } \chi = \mathbf{1}_G, \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \neq \mathbf{1}_G, \end{cases} \quad \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } g = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } g \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $S = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)$. If χ is trivial on G then $S = |G|$. If χ is not trivial on G , say $\chi(g_0) \neq 1$. Then $\chi(g_0)S = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(gg_0) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = S$, so $S = 0$.

The second formula in the theorem can be viewed as an instance of the first formula via Pontryagin duality: the second sum is a sum of the character “evaluate at g ” over the group \widehat{G} , and this character on \widehat{G} is nontrivial when $g \neq 1$ by Pontryagin duality. \square

Theorem 4.1 says the sum of a nontrivial character over a group vanishes and the sum of all characters of a group evaluated at a nontrivial element vanishes, so the sum of the elements in each row and column of a character table of G is zero except the row for the trivial character and the column for the identity element. Check this in Table 1.

Corollary 4.2. *For characters χ_1 and χ_2 in \widehat{G} and g_1 and g_2 in G ,*

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g)\overline{\chi_2(g)} = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2, \end{cases} \quad \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(g_1)\overline{\chi(g_2)} = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } g_1 = g_2, \\ 0, & \text{if } g_1 \neq g_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the first equation of Theorem 4.1 let $\chi = \chi_1\overline{\chi_2}$. In the second equation of Theorem 4.1 let $g = g_1g_2^{-1}$. (Alternatively, after proving the first equation for all G we observe that the second equation is a special case of the first by Pontryagin duality.) \square

The equations in Corollary 4.2 are called the *orthogonality relations*. They say that the character table of G has orthogonal rows and orthogonal columns when we define orthogonality of two n -tuples of complex numbers as vanishing of their Hermitian inner product: in \mathbf{C}^n : $\langle (z_1, \dots, z_n), (w_1, \dots, w_n) \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \overline{w_k}$.

Example 4.3. Let $G = (\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$. For $a \in (\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$ and p a prime not dividing m ,

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi \bmod m} \overline{\chi(a)}\chi(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \equiv a \pmod{m}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \not\equiv a \pmod{m}, \end{cases}$$

where the sum runs over the characters of $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$. (Since p is prime, p not dividing m forces p to be in $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times$.) This identity was used by Dirichlet in his proof that there are infinitely many primes $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

By the second equation in Corollary 4.2 we can express the delta-functions in terms of characters:

$$\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(g)\overline{\chi(x)} = |G|\delta_g(x) \implies \delta_g(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \overline{\chi(g)}\chi(x).$$

Substituting this formula for δ_g into (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \bar{\chi}(g) \chi(x) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{|G|} f(g) \bar{\chi}(g) \chi(x) \\
 (4.2) \quad &= \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} c_\chi \chi(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad c_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \bar{\chi}(g).$$

The expansion (4.2) is the Fourier series for f .

Equation (4.3) is similar to the formula for the coefficient c_n of e^{inx} in (2.1): an integral over $[0, 2\pi]$ divided by 2π is replaced by a sum over G divided by $|G|$ and $f(x)e^{-inx}$ is replaced by $f(g)\bar{\chi}(g)$. The number e^{-inx} is the conjugate of e^{inx} , which is also the relation between $\bar{\chi}(g)$ and $\chi(g)$. Equation (4.2) shows \widehat{G} is a spanning set for $L(G)$. Since $|\widehat{G}| = |G| = \dim L(G)$, \widehat{G} is a basis for $L(G)$.

Definition 4.4. If $f \in L(G)$ then its *Fourier transform* $\widehat{f} \in L(\widehat{G})$ is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \bar{\chi}(g).$$

By (4.2) and (4.3),

$$(4.4) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\chi) \chi(x).$$

Equation (4.4), called the *Fourier inversion formula*, lets us recover f from \widehat{f} .

Remark 4.5. Classically the Fourier transform of a function $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is another function $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The finite Fourier transform, however, is defined on the dual group instead of on the original group. We can also interpret the classical Fourier transform to be a function of characters. For $y \in \mathbf{R}$ let $\chi_y(x) = e^{ixy}$. Then $\chi_y: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow S^1$ is a character and $\widehat{f}(y)$ could be viewed as $\widehat{f}(\chi_y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) \bar{\chi}_y(x) dx$, so \widehat{f} is a function of characters rather than of numbers.

Example 4.6. Let $f = \delta_g$. Then $\widehat{f}(\chi) = \bar{\chi}(g) = \chi(g^{-1})$. Notice f vanishes at all but one element of G while \widehat{f} is nonzero on all of \widehat{G} .

Example 4.7. Let $f = \psi$ be a character of G . Then $\widehat{f}(\chi) = \sum_g \psi(g) \bar{\chi}(g) = |G| \delta_\psi(\chi)$, so $\widehat{f} = |G| \delta_\psi$. Here f is nonzero on all of G and \widehat{f} is nonzero at only one element of \widehat{G} .

The Fourier transform on \mathbf{R} interchanges highly spread and highly peaked Gaussians. Examples 4.6 and 4.7 suggest a similar phenomenon in the finite case. The next theorem is a general result in that direction (a finite version of Heisenberg uncertainty). It is the only time (outside Appendix B) when we will use inequalities with characters of finite abelian groups.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a function on a finite abelian group G that is not identically zero. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad |\text{supp } f| \cdot |\text{supp } \widehat{f}| \geq |G|,$$

where supp denotes the support of a function (the set of points where the function is nonzero).

Proof. We expand f into a Fourier series and make estimates. Since

$$f(x) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \frac{1}{|G|} \widehat{f}(\chi) \chi(x),$$

we have

$$(4.6) \quad |f(x)| \leq \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \frac{1}{|G|} |\widehat{f}(\chi)| \leq \frac{|\text{supp } \widehat{f}|}{|G|} \max_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} |\widehat{f}(\chi)|.$$

By the definition of $\widehat{f}(\chi)$,

$$(4.7) \quad |\widehat{f}(\chi)| \leq \sum_{g \in G} |f(g)|.$$

Let $m = \max_{g \in G} |f(g)|$, so $m > 0$ since f is not identically zero. Then (4.7) implies $|\widehat{f}(\chi)| \leq m |\text{supp } f|$, and feeding this into (4.6) yields

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{m |\text{supp } f| |\text{supp } \widehat{f}|}{|G|}.$$

Maximizing over all $x \in G$ implies $m \leq m |\text{supp } f| |\text{supp } \widehat{f}| / |G|$. Divide both sides by m and the desired inequality drops out. \square

In Examples 4.6 and 4.7, inequality (4.5) is an equality, so Theorem 4.8 is sharp.

Since $L(G)$ is spanned by the characters of G and the delta-functions, linear identities in $L(G)$ can be verified by checking them on characters or delta-functions. For example, define a Hermitian inner product on $L(G)$ by the rule

$$(4.8) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

We will prove Plancherel's theorem for G :

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \langle \widehat{f_1}, \widehat{f_2} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$$

for all f_1 and f_2 in $L(G)$. (Compare to (2.4).) To check this identity, which is linear in both f_1 and f_2 , it suffices to check it when f_1 and f_2 are characters. By Corollary 4.2, for characters χ_1 and χ_2 of G we have

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle_G = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2. \end{cases}$$

Since $\widehat{\chi} = |G| \delta_\chi$ (Example 4.7),

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \langle \widehat{\chi_1}, \widehat{\chi_2} \rangle_{\widehat{G}} = |G| \langle \delta_{\chi_1}, \delta_{\chi_2} \rangle_{\widehat{G}} = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \delta_{\chi_1}(\chi) \overline{\delta_{\chi_2}(\chi)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2. \end{cases}$$

This verifies Plancherel's theorem for G . The special case where $f_1 = f_2 = f$ is a single function from G to \mathbf{C} gives us Parseval's formula for G :

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{g \in G} |f(g)|^2 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} |\widehat{f}(\chi)|^2.$$

Let's look at Fourier transforms for functions on a cyclic group. By writing a cyclic group in the form $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we can make an isomorphism with the dual group explicit: every character of $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ has the form $\chi_k: j \mapsto e^{2\pi i j k/m}$ for a unique $k \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$ (Exercise 3.1). The Fourier transform of a function $f: \mathbf{Z}/(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ can be viewed as a function on $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$:

$$(4.10) \quad \widehat{f}(k) := \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)} f(j) \overline{\chi_k(j)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)} f(j) e^{-2\pi i j k/m}.$$

This is like viewing the Fourier transform of a function on \mathbf{R} as a function on \mathbf{R} .

Example 4.9. Let $f: \mathbf{Z}/(8) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a function with period 2 having values 1 and 2. See Table 4. The Fourier transform of f vanishes except at 0 and 4, which are the multiples of the frequency of f (how often the period repeats).

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$f(n)$	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
$\widehat{f}(n)$	12	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0


TABLE 4.

Example 4.10. Let $f: \mathbf{Z}/(8) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ have the periodic values 5, 3, 1, and 1. Both f and its Fourier transform are in Table 5. Now f has frequency 2 (its period repeats twice) and the Fourier transform vanishes except at 0, 2, 4, and 6, which are multiples of the frequency.

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$f(n)$	5	3	1	1	5	3	1	1
$\widehat{f}(n)$	20	0	$8 - 4i$	0	4	0	$8 + 4i$	0

TABLE 5.

Example 4.11. Consider a function $f: \mathbf{Z}/(45) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with the four successive repeating values 1, 8, 19, 17 starting with $f(0) = 1$. It is not a periodic function on $\mathbf{Z}/(45)$ since 4 does not divide 45, but the sequence 1, 8, 19, 17 repeats nearly 11 times. (The value of $f(44)$ is 1.) A calculation of $|\widehat{f}(n)|$, which is plotted below, reveals peaks in red at $n = 0, 11, 22, 23,$ and 34 that are all at least 3 times as high as nearby values. This can't be shown to scale near 0, 11, and 34, which is why the values there have arrowheads on top. These peaks in $|\widehat{f}(n)|$ occur approximately at multiples of the approximate frequency!



As Examples 4.9 and 4.10 suggest, the Fourier transform of a periodic function on $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ knows the frequency of the original function by the positions where the Fourier transform has nonzero values (Exercise 4.4). For *nearly* periodic functions on $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, the approximate frequency is reflected in where the Fourier transform takes on its largest values. This idea is used in Shor’s quantum algorithm for integer factorization [2], [3, Chap. 17], where it is convenient to redefine the Fourier transform (4.10) by dividing the sum by \sqrt{m} , which has the effect of making the Fourier transform a unitary operator on the functions $\mathbf{Z}/(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. See Exercise 4.11.

Exercises.

1. Let G be a finite abelian group, H be a subgroup of G , and K be a subgroup of \widehat{G} . Show

$$\sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) = \begin{cases} |H|, & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial on } H, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \sum_{\chi \in K} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} |K|, & \text{if } \chi(g) = 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in K, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. Let $f: \mathbf{Z}/(8) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ take the four values a, b, c , and d twice in this order. Compute $\widehat{f}(n)$ explicitly and determine some values for a, b, c , and d such that $\widehat{f}(n)$ is nonzero for $n = 0, 2$, and 6 , but $\widehat{f}(4) = 0$.
3. For a subgroup H of a finite abelian group G , let δ_H be the function that is 1 on H and 0 off of H . Show the Fourier transform of δ_H is $\widehat{\delta_H} = |H|\delta_{H^\perp}$. How do the supports of δ_H and its Fourier transform compare with the inequality (4.5)?
4. Let H be a subgroup of a finite abelian group G .
 - (a) Suppose $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is constant on H -cosets (it is H -periodic). For $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ with $\chi \notin H^\perp$, show $\widehat{f}(\chi) = 0$. Thus the Fourier transform of an H -periodic function on G is supported on H^\perp .
 - (b) If $f: \mathbf{Z}/(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ has period d where $d \mid m$, show $\widehat{f}: \mathbf{Z}/(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is supported on the multiples of m/d . (See Examples 4.9 and 4.10.)
5. Find the analogue of Exercise 2.3 for functions on a finite abelian group.

6. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

a) Show $f(g) \in \mathbf{R}$ for all g if and only if $\widehat{f(\chi)} = \widehat{f(\bar{\chi})}$ for all χ .

b) Show $\widehat{f(\chi)} \in \mathbf{R}$ for all χ if and only if $f(g) = f(g^{-1})$ for all g .

7. Let G be a finite abelian group and H be a subgroup. For a function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, Poisson summation on G says

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} f(h) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in H^\perp} \widehat{f}(\chi),$$

where H^\perp is as in Exercise 3.7. Prove this formula in two ways:

a) Copy the classical proof sketched in Section 2 (start with the function $F(x) = \sum_{h \in H} f(xh)$, which is H -periodic so it defines a function on G/H) to obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} f(xh) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in H^\perp} \widehat{f}(\chi) \chi(x)$$

for all $x \in G$ and then set $x = 1$.

b) By linearity in f of both sides of the desired identity, verify Poisson summation directly on the delta-functions of G . (Corollary 3.7 and Example 4.6 will be useful.)

8. Let $T_g: L(G) \rightarrow L(G)$ be as in Exercise 3.6.

(a) Show $\widehat{T_g f} = \chi(g) \widehat{f}$ for all $f \in L(G)$.

(b) Show for all f_1 and f_2 in $L(G)$ that $\langle T_g f_1, T_g f_2 \rangle_G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_G$.

9. Let $f \in L(G)$, so \widehat{f} is in $L(\widehat{G})$ and $\widehat{\widehat{f}}$ is in $L(\widehat{\widehat{G}})$.

(a) Viewing $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ as G by Pontryagin duality, show $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(g) = |G| f(g^{-1})$.

(b) For a subgroup H in G , define the H -average of f and the H -cutoff of f to be the following functions on G :

$$\text{Avg}_H(f)(g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} f(gh), \quad \text{Cut}_H(f)(g) = \begin{cases} f(g), & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0, & \text{if } g \notin H. \end{cases}$$

Check the identity $\widehat{\widehat{\text{Avg}_H(f)}} = \text{Cut}_{H^\perp}(\widehat{f})$ of functions on \widehat{G} and then take the Fourier transform of both sides to get an identity of functions on G , which will be (4.11) as x varies. (This shows that Poisson summation is essentially equivalent to the fact that the Fourier transform exchanges the operators Avg_H on $L(G)$ and Cut_{H^\perp} on $L(\widehat{G})$, or equivalently Cut_H on $L(G)$ and Avg_{H^\perp} on $L(\widehat{G})$.)

10. Let G be a finite abelian group. For f_1 and f_2 in $L(G)$, define their *convolution* $f_1 * f_2: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} f_1(h) f_2(gh^{-1}).$$

(a) Show $\delta_g * \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$, so $L(G)$ under convolution is a commutative \mathbf{C} -algebra isomorphic to the group ring $\mathbf{C}[G]$.

(b) Show $\widehat{f_1 * f_2}(\chi) = \widehat{f_1}(\chi) \widehat{f_2}(\chi)$, so the Fourier transform turns convolution into pointwise multiplication.

(c) Show $\delta_g * f = T_{g^{-1}}(f)$ and $\chi * f = \widehat{f}(\chi) \chi$ in two ways: by a direct calculation or by computing the Fourier transform of both sides and using (b).

(d) For each $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, the function $h_\chi: L(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by $h_\chi(f) = \widehat{f}(\chi)$ is a \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism by (b). Does every \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism from $L(G)$ to \mathbf{C} arise in this way?

11. On every finite abelian group G , rescale the definition of the Fourier transform by dividing by $\sqrt{|G|}$:

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\chi}(g).$$

Verify the following new versions of Fourier inversion and Plancherel's theorem: $f(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{\chi} \widehat{f}(\chi) \chi(g)$ and $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_G = \langle \widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$.

Check that this new Fourier transform sends convolution on $L(G)$ (Exercise 4.10) to multiplication only if we redefine convolution using division by $\sqrt{|G|}$:

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{h \in G} f_1(h) f_2(gh^{-1}).$$

5. STRUCTURE OF FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

We will now put characters to work by using them to prove each finite abelian group is a direct product of cyclic groups. This result was used in the proof of Theorem 3.13, that $G \cong \widehat{G}$, but that work will not be used here, so no circular reasoning occurs.

The following theorem shows that every cyclic subgroup of maximal size in a finite abelian group can be split off as a direct factor. Characters get used in an essential way in the proof.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group and let $g \in G$ have maximal order in G . There is a subgroup $H \subset G$ such that $G \cong H \times \langle g \rangle$.*

Proof. Let n be the order of g . The subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of G is cyclic of order n . In S^1 there is a cyclic subgroup of order n , namely μ_n . Since cyclic groups of the same order are isomorphic, there is an isomorphism $\langle g \rangle \rightarrow \mu_n$, so g is mapped to a root of unity of order n . This isomorphism can be viewed as a character of $\langle g \rangle$. Extend this to a character of G (Theorem 3.3), so we have a character $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\chi(g)$ has order n . The image $\chi(G)$ contains μ_n , and it turns out to be no larger.

Claim: $\chi(G) = \mu_n$.

Since $\chi(G)$ is a finite subgroup of S^1 , it is cyclic (all finite subgroups of S^1 are cyclic). Therefore $\chi(G) = \langle \chi(\gamma) \rangle$ for some $\gamma \in G$. Since $\chi(G)$ contains μ_n , $\chi(G) = \mu_{nn'}$ where $n' \geq 1$. Thus $\chi(\gamma)$ has order nn' . Let γ have order d in G , so $\gamma^d = 1$ in G and thus $\chi(\gamma)^d = 1$ in S^1 . That implies $nn' \mid d$, so $nn' \leq d$. Since n is the maximal order of the elements in G , $d \leq n$. The relations $nn' \leq d$ and $d \leq n$ imply $n' = 1$, so $\chi(G) = \mu_n$. This proves the claim.

Set $H = \ker \chi$. Then $H \cap \langle g \rangle = \{1\}$ since χ is one-to-one on $\langle g \rangle$ by construction. For each $x \in G$, $\chi(x) \in \chi(G) = \mu_n = \chi(\langle g \rangle)$, so $\chi(x) = \chi(g^j)$ for some j . Therefore $h := xg^{-j}$ is in H and $x = hg^j$. This proves that the multiplication map $H \times \langle g \rangle \rightarrow G$ where $(h, g^j) \mapsto hg^j$ is surjective. It is a homomorphism and its kernel is trivial, so $G \cong H \times \langle g \rangle$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Every finite abelian group G is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups:*

$$G \cong \mathbf{Z}/(n_1) \times \mathbf{Z}/(n_2) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}/(n_k).$$

Proof. Induct on $|G|$. The result is clear when $|G| = 1$. When $|G| > 1$, let n be the maximal order of the elements of G , so $G \cong H \times \mathbf{Z}/(n)$ by Theorem 5.1. Since $|H| < |G|$, by induction

H is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups, so G is also isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups. \square

Theorem 5.2 can be refined: G is a direct product of cyclic groups with the extra feature that $n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \cdots \mid n_k$. To prove this, use the fact that the order of each element of a finite abelian group G divides the maximal order of the elements of G (Theorem A.3).

Exercises.

1. What is the structure (as a direct product of cyclic groups) of the finite abelian groups whose nontrivial characters all have order 2?
2. Mimic the proof of Theorem 5.1 to decompose $(\mathbf{Z}/(20))^\times$ (of size 8) and $(\mathbf{Z}/(45))^\times$ (of size 24) into a direct product of cyclic groups.
3. Show by an explicit counterexample that the following is false: if two subgroups H and K of a finite abelian group G are isomorphic then there is an automorphism of G that restricts to an isomorphism from H to K .
4. For a finite abelian group G , show the maximum order of the elements of G and the number $|G|$ have the same prime factors. (Hint: If g has order n and there is an element h of prime order p where $p \nmid n$, what is the order of gh ?)

This is false in general for nonabelian G , as shown in the table below where $g(n)$ (called Landau's function) is the maximal order of the elements of S_n . For $n \geq 3$ in the table, some prime factor of $n!$ does not divide $g(n)$.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$g(n)$	1	2	3	4	6	6	12	15	20	30	30	60	60	84	105

TABLE 6. Maximal order of elements of S_n

5. Let G be a finite abelian group and F be a field containing a full set of $|G|$ th roots of unity. (So $x^{|G|} = 1$ has $|G|$ solutions in F .) Define characters of G to be group homomorphisms $\chi: G \rightarrow F^\times$ and write the set of all such characters as \widehat{G} .
 - a) Construct a character table for $\mathbf{Z}/(4)$ and $(\mathbf{Z}/(2))^2$ when F is the field $\mathbf{Z}/(5)$.
 - b) Prove every lemma, theorem, and corollary from Section 3 for the new meaning of \widehat{G} . There is no longer complex conjugation on character values, but the inverse of χ is still the function $g \mapsto \chi(g^{-1}) = \chi(g)^{-1}$. (Hint: For each d dividing $|G|$, $x^d = 1$ has d distinct solutions in F^\times , which form a cyclic group.)
 - c) Prove Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 for F -valued characters of G .
 - d) Set $L(G, F)$ to be the functions $G \rightarrow F$. This is an F -vector space in the same way that $L(G)$ is a complex vector space. For each function $f \in L(G, F)$, define its Fourier transform $\widehat{f} \in L(\widehat{G}, F)$ by $\widehat{f}(\chi) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\chi(g^{-1})$. Prove the Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel's theorem in this context. (Note: If the field F has characteristic p then $1/|G|$ in the Fourier inversion formula makes sense in F since p doesn't divide $|G|$ – why?)
 - e) Check everything you have done goes through if the assumption that $x^{|G|} = 1$ has a full set of solutions in F is weakened to $x^m = 1$ having a full set of solutions in F , where m is the maximal order of the elements of G . For example, if $G = (\mathbf{Z}/(2))^d$ then $m = 2$ and we can use $F = \mathbf{Z}/(3)$.

6. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO A MORDELL EQUATION MOD p

For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, an equation of the form $y^2 = x^3 + k$ is called a *Mordell equation*. When $k \neq 0$, it is a hard theorem that such an equation has only finitely many integral solutions (x, y) , which could include having no integral solutions.³ For example, the integral solutions of $y^2 = x^3 - 4$ are $(2, \pm 2)$ and $(5, \pm 11)$, and $y^2 = x^3 - 5$ has no integral solutions. Using characters, we will show for each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ that the congruence $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod p$ modulo a prime p always has a solution.

For a character χ on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$, extend χ to $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ by setting $\chi(0) = 0$. Then $\chi(ab) = \chi(a)\chi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$.

Lemma 6.1. *If p is prime and $d \mid (p - 1)$, then there is a character χ of $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ with order d , and for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{Z}/(p) : x^d \equiv a \pmod p\}| = 1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 + \cdots + \chi(a)^{d-1}.$$

Proof. The group $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ is cyclic of order $p - 1$,⁴ so $|\{x \in \mathbf{Z}/(p) : x^d \equiv 1 \pmod p\}| = d$ since $d \mid (p - 1)$. Thus

$$(6.1) \quad |\{x \in \mathbf{Z}/(p) : x^d \equiv a \pmod p\}| = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \text{ and } a \text{ is a } d\text{th power mod } p, \\ 1, & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \text{ is not a } d\text{th power mod } p. \end{cases}$$

The character group of $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ is cyclic of order $p - 1$ by Theorem 3.11, so $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ has a character of order d . Call such a character χ . For each $a \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$, we'll show that $1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 + \cdots + \chi(a)^{d-1}$ has the same values as in (6.1).

- If a is a nonzero d th power mod p , say $a \equiv b^d \pmod p$, then $\chi(a) = \chi(b^d) = \chi(b)^d = 1$ since χ^d is identically 1 on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$, so $1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 + \cdots + \chi(a)^{d-1} = d$.
- If $a \equiv 0 \pmod p$ then $\chi(a) = 0$, so $1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 + \cdots + \chi(a)^{d-1} = 1$.
- Lastly, if a is in $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ and is not a d th power, we'll show $\chi(a) \neq 1$, so by summing a finite geometric series,

$$1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 + \cdots + \chi(a)^{d-1} = \frac{\chi(a)^d - 1}{\chi(a) - 1} = \frac{1 - 1}{\chi(a) - 1} = 0,$$

which would complete the proof.

Let g be a generator of $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ and write $a = g^k$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Step 1: $\chi(g)$ has order d . Since χ has order d , $\chi(g)^d = 1$, so $\chi(g)$ has order dividing d . Since $\chi((\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times) = \langle \chi(g) \rangle$, if $\chi(g)$ has order less than d then χ as a character has order less than d , which χ doesn't. Thus $\chi(g)$ has order d .

Step 2: $\chi(a) \neq 1$. If $\chi(a) = 1$ then $\chi(g)^k = 1$, so $d \mid k$ by Step 1. Then $a = g^k$ is a d th power, which isn't the case, so $\chi(a) \neq 1$. \square

Theorem 6.2. *For each prime p and integer k , the number of solutions (x, y) in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ to $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod p$ is p if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and is at least 2 if $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$.*

Proof. Case 1: $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

Since $3 \nmid (p - 1)$ we have $(3, p - 1) = 1$, so cubing is a bijection $\mathbf{Z}/(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ (on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ it is injective and thus surjective since the group is finite), so for each $y \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$

³At $k = 0$, the equation is $y^2 = x^3$, which has infinitely many integral solutions $(x, y) = (t^2, t^3)$ for $t \in \mathbf{Z}$.

⁴See <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/grouptheory/cyclicmodp.pdf> for many proofs of this.

there is a unique $x \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ such that $y^2 - k \equiv x^3 \pmod{p}$. Thus the number of solutions in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ of $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod{p}$ is p .

Case 2: $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

If $k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then the congruence is $y^2 \equiv x^3 \pmod{p}$, which has the p solutions (t^2, t^3) for $t \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ (and in fact no further solutions⁵). So now we can assume $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Since $3 \mid (p-1)$, there is a character χ on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ with order 3, and the inverse $\bar{\chi}$ of χ is the complex conjugate $\bar{\chi}$. Since p is odd, there is a quadratic character ψ on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ (it's the Legendre symbol). To count solutions to $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod{p}$ we will count solutions (a, b) to the simpler equation $b \equiv a + k \pmod{p}$ and then count how often a is a cube mod p and b is a square mod p .

By Lemma 6.1, the number of ways a is a cube mod p is $1 + \chi(a) + \chi(a)^2 = 1 + \chi(a) + \bar{\chi}(a)$, and the number of ways b is a square mod p is $1 + \psi(b)$. Let $N_p(k)$ be the number of mod p solutions to $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod{p}$, so

$$N_p(k) = \sum_{(a,b)} (1 + \chi(a) + \bar{\chi}(a))(1 + \psi(b)),$$

where we sum over all $(a, b) \pmod{p}$ for which $b \equiv a + k \pmod{p}$ (either a or b determines the other mod p). Expanding out the product, we get a sum over all the pairs (a, b) where $b \equiv a + k \pmod{p}$, with each summand having 6 terms:

$$N_p(k) = \sum_{(a,b)} (1 + \chi(a) + \bar{\chi}(a) + \psi(b) + \chi(a)\psi(b) + \bar{\chi}(a)\psi(b)).$$

Split this up into 6 sums. The first sum is p since the number of possible (a, b) is p (both a and b determine each other mod p and each is free to take on any value). The second, third, and fourth sums are 0 since the sum of a nontrivial multiplicative character over $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ is 0 (a term where $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ can be dropped since $\chi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$). We're left with the sums of $\chi(a)\psi(b)$ and $\bar{\chi}(a)\psi(b)$, and at this point let's write b directly in terms of a (as $a + k \pmod{p}$) so we can write the sums as running over all $a \pmod{p}$:

$$N_p(k) = p + \sum_a \chi(a)\psi(a+k) + \sum_a \bar{\chi}(a)\psi(a+k).$$

Since $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we can make the change of variables $a \mapsto ka$ in both sums and pull out the character values at k :

$$N_p(k) = p + \chi(k)\psi(k) \sum_a \chi(a)\psi(a+1) + \bar{\chi}(k)\psi(k) \sum_a \bar{\chi}(a)\psi(a+1).$$

Replace $a \pmod{p}$ with $-a \pmod{p}$ in the sums:

$$N_p(k) = p + \chi(-k)\psi(k) \sum_a \chi(a)\psi(1-a) + \bar{\chi}(-k)\psi(k) \sum_a \bar{\chi}(a)\psi(1-a).$$

Set $S = \sum_a \chi(a)\psi(1-a)$, so $\bar{S} = \sum_a \bar{\chi}(a)\psi(1-a)$ since ψ -values are ± 1 . Thus

$$N_p(k) = p + \chi(-k)\psi(k)S + \bar{\chi}(-k)\psi(k)\bar{S} = p + 2\operatorname{Re}(\chi(-k)\psi(k)S).$$

For each $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq \sqrt{|z|}$, so $|N_p(k) - p| \leq 2\sqrt{|S|}$. Since χ and ψ are nontrivial multiplicative characters mod p and $\chi\psi$ is nontrivial, $|S| = \sqrt{p}$.⁶ Thus $|N_p(k) - p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$,

⁵If F is any field, the solutions of $y^2 = x^3$ in F are all of the form (t^2, t^3) as t runs over F : if $x \neq 0$, set $t = y/x \neq 0$, so $t^2 = y^2/x^2 = x^3/x^2 = x$ and $t^3 = y^3/x^3 = y^3/y^2 = y$. That shows all solutions (x, y) where $x \neq 0$ have the form (t^2, t^3) where $t \in F^\times$, and $(0, 0)$ also has that form with $t = 0$.

⁶See Corollary 2.4 in <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/Gauss-Jacobi-sums.pdf>.

so $N_p(k) \geq p - 2\sqrt{p}$. The function $x - 2\sqrt{x}$ on $(0, \infty)$ is increasing for $x > 1$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $p \geq 7$, so $N_p(k) \geq p - 2\sqrt{p} \geq 7 - 2\sqrt{7} \approx 1.7$, so $N_p(k) \geq 2$. \square

By an explicit search for solutions of $y^2 \equiv x^3 + k \pmod{7}$ as k varies, the number of solutions is 2 if $k \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ (namely $(0, \pm 2)$), 3 if $k \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ (namely $(1, 0)$, $(2, 0)$, and $(4, 0)$), and at least 6 for other $k \pmod{7}$. After 7, the next prime that is 1 mod 3 is 13 and $13 - 2\sqrt{13} \approx 5.7$, so $N_p(k) \geq 6$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p > 7$.

Exercises.

1. For prime p and $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, set $d = (n, p-1)$. For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\varphi_r: (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ by $\varphi_r(x) = x^r$.
 - a) Show φ_n and φ_d have the same image and kernel. (Hint: d is a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of n and $p-1$.)
 - b) For nonzero a in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$, use (a) to show the equations $x^n = a$ and $x^d = a$ have the same number of solutions in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$.
 - c) Find all solutions of $x^4 = 3$ and of $x^2 = 3$ in $\mathbf{Z}/(11)$. (There are two solutions in each case.)
 - d) For nonzero a in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$, show $x^n + y^n = a$ and $x^d + y^d = a$ have the same number of solutions in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$.
2. Let p be prime, a be nonzero in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$, and d be a positive factor of $p-1$. We want to estimate the number of solutions of $x^d + y^d = a$ in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$.
 - a) For a polynomial $f(x)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$, let $N(f(x) = a)$ be the number of solutions of $f(x) = a$ in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$. By Lemma 6.1, $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ has a character χ of order d and we set $\chi(0) = 0$. Show

$$\begin{aligned} N(x^d + y^d = a) &= \sum_{\substack{b, c \in \mathbf{Z}/(p) \\ b+c=a}} N(x^d = b)N(y^d = c) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \chi(b)^i \right) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \chi(a-b)^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

- b) Expand the product in (a) and rearrange terms to show

$$\begin{aligned} N(x^d + y^d = a) &= p + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)} \chi(b)^i \chi(a-b)^j \\ &= p + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \chi(a)^{i+j} \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)} \chi(b)^i \chi(1-b)^j. \end{aligned}$$

- c) For characters ψ and ψ' on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$, set $J(\psi, \psi') = \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)} \psi(b)\psi'(1-b)$ (it is called a Jacobi sum), so by (b),

$$N(x^d + y^d = a) = p + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \chi(a)^{i+j} J(\chi^i, \chi^j).$$

For a nontrivial character ψ on $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$, $J(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = -\psi(-1)$.⁷ Use that to show

$$N(x^d + y^d = a) = p + 1 - N(x^d = -1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq d-1 \\ i+j \neq d}} \chi(a)^{i+j} \sum_{b \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)} \chi(b)^i \chi(1-b)^j.$$

d) When ψ , ψ' , and $\psi\psi'$ are all nontrivial, $|J(\psi, \psi')| = \sqrt{p}$.⁸ Use that and (c) to show

$$|N(x^d + y^d = a) - (p + 1)| \leq d + (d - 1)(d - 2)\sqrt{p}$$

e) Use part (d) and Exercise 6.1(d) to show for $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and sufficiently large p (depending only on n) that for each $a \in (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times$ the equation $x^n + y^n = a$ has a solution in $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ where x and y are both nonzero.

7. DUAL HOMOMORPHISMS

The set $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$ of all homomorphisms from the abelian group G_1 to the abelian group G_2 forms an abelian group under pointwise multiplication

Theorem 7.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite abelian groups. For a homomorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, set $f^*: \widehat{G}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1$ by $f^*(\chi) = \chi \circ f$. Then f^* is a group homomorphism and the map sending f to f^* gives a group isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2) \cong \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1).$$

Proof. If $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism and $\chi \in \widehat{G}_2$, then for g and g' in G_1 we have

$$\chi(f(gg')) = \chi(f(g)f(g')) = \chi(f(g))\chi(f(g')),$$

so $f^*(\chi) := \chi \circ f$ lies in \widehat{G}_1 . Thus we get the map $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1)$ as advertised. Check $(ff')^* = f^*(f')^*$, so $f \mapsto f^*$ is a homomorphism.

Repeating this idea leads to a group homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{\widehat{G}}_2, \widehat{\widehat{G}}_1)$. By Pontryagin duality it is a homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$ and the composite

$$\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$$

turns out to be (after unwinding definitions, left to the reader) the identity function. Therefore our original map $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}_2, \widehat{G}_1)$ is a group isomorphism. \square

The homomorphism $f^*: \widehat{G}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1$ is called the *dual homomorphism* to f .

Exercises.

1. For a homomorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, show $(\ker f)^\perp = \text{im } f^*$ in \widehat{G}_1 and $(\text{im } f)^\perp = \ker f^*$ in \widehat{G}_2 .
2. Show the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(G, G) \cong \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}, \widehat{G})$ in Theorem 7.1 coming from the identity map on G associates $g \mapsto g^m$ in $\text{Hom}(G, G)$ with $\chi \mapsto \chi^m$ in $\text{Hom}(\widehat{G}, \widehat{G})$.

⁷See Theorem 2.5 in <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/Gauss-Jacobi-sums.pdf>.

⁸See Corollary 2.4 in <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/Gauss-Jacobi-sums.pdf>.

8. ABELIAN GROUP DETERMINANTS

Consider a square $n \times n$ matrix where each row is a cyclic shift of the previous row:

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_{n-1} \\ X_{n-1} & X_0 & X_1 & \dots & X_{n-2} \\ X_{n-2} & X_{n-1} & X_0 & \dots & X_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_3 & \dots & X_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Its determinant is called a *circulant*. When n is 2 and 3, the circulants are

$$\begin{vmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_1 & X_0 \end{vmatrix} = X_0^2 - X_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{vmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 \\ X_2 & X_0 & X_1 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_0 \end{vmatrix} = X_0^3 + X_1^3 + X_2^3 - 3X_0X_1X_2.$$

These factor as

$$(X_0 + X_1)(X_0 - X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (X_0 + X_1 + X_2)(X_0 + \omega X_1 + \omega^2 X_2)(X_0 + \omega^2 X_1 + \omega X_2),$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

If we think about the variables X_i as being indexed by $\mathbf{Z}/(n)$ then the (i, j) entry of (8.1) is X_{j-i} . More generally, for a finite group G , index a set of variables X_g by G and form the matrix indexed by $G \times G$ where the (g, h) entry is $X_{gh^{-1}}$. (The circulant is the determinant of the matrix $(X_{j-i}) = (X_{i-j})^\top$.) The determinant is called the *group determinant* of G :

$$(8.2) \quad \Delta(G) = \det(X_{gh^{-1}}).$$

This is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|G|$ with integer coefficients. A circulant is the group determinant of a cyclic group.

Circulants of order 2 and 3 are products of linear factors with roots of unity as coefficients. Dedekind and Burnside each proved the same property for the group determinant of every finite abelian group, but Dedekind's approach revealed more structure in the factors: the roots of unity in a given linear factor are the values of one of the characters of the group!

Theorem 8.1 (Dedekind). *If G is a finite abelian group then its group determinant factors into linear factors over the complex numbers:*

$$\det(X_{gh^{-1}}) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) X_g \right).$$

Proof. We will realize $(X_{gh^{-1}})$ as the matrix for a linear transformation and then find its diagonalization to compute its determinant.

Let $V = \mathbf{C}[G]$ be the group ring of G . For each $v \in V$, define the linear map $L_v: V \rightarrow V$ to be (left) multiplication by v : $L_v(x) = vx$. We will compute the matrix for L_v with respect to the basis G of V . Writing $v = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ we have for each $h \in G$

$$L_v(h) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g gh = \sum_{g \in G} a_{gh^{-1}} g,$$

so the matrix for L_v with respect to the basis G is $(a_{gh^{-1}})$.

Another basis for $\mathbf{C}[G]$ is the set of formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g$, one for each character χ of G : the number of such sums has the right size to be a basis, and for a linear relation

$$\sum_{\chi} c_{\chi} \left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g \right) = 0$$

in $\mathbf{C}[G]$ we get $\sum_{\chi} c_{\chi} \chi(g) = 0$ for all g (the coefficient of each g is 0), so every c_{χ} is 0 by Fourier inversion.

This new basis of $\mathbf{C}[G]$, indexed by the characters, consists of eigenvectors for L_v :

$$\begin{aligned} L_v \left(\sum_{h \in G} \chi(h)h \right) &= \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} \chi(h)h \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} \left(\sum_{gh=k} a_g \chi(h) \right) k \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \chi(g^{-1}) \chi(k) \right) k \\ &= \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \chi(g^{-1}) \right) \left(\sum_{k \in G} \chi(k)k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\det(L_v)$ is the product of the eigenvalues of L_v for an eigenbasis,

$$\det(a_{gh^{-1}}) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \bar{\chi}(g) \right).$$

If we interchange the roles of χ and $\bar{\chi}$ in this product then we obtain

$$\det(a_{gh^{-1}}) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \chi(g) \right).$$

Thus the multivariable polynomials $\det(X_{gh^{-1}})$ and $\prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)X_g$ are equal on all of \mathbf{C}^n , so they must be the same polynomial. \square

Example 8.2. Taking $G = \mathbf{Z}/(n)$ and $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-1} \\ X_{n-1} & X_0 & \cdots & X_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_0 \end{vmatrix} &= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{jk} X_k \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (X_0 + \zeta_n^j X_1 + \cdots + \zeta_n^{(n-1)j} X_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Applications of the factorization of the group determinant for abelian (not necessarily cyclic) groups can be found in [4, §5.5].

If G is a nonabelian group then its group determinant has an irreducible factor with degree greater than 1. Studying irreducible factors of the group determinant for nonabelian G led Frobenius to discover representation theory and the correct extension of the notion of a character to (finite) nonabelian groups.

Exercises.

1. Check the factorization of the group determinant for $\mathbf{Z}/(4)$.
2. Compute and factor the group determinant of $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$.
3. If G is nonabelian, show the polynomial $\Delta(G)$ in (8.2) is divisible by $\sum_{g \in G} X_g$, and more generally by $\sum \chi(g)X_g$ for each homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$.

9. EIGENSPACE DECOMPOSITION

In high school math we first learn about even and odd functions $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$: an even function satisfies $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all x and an odd function satisfies $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all x . For example, x^2 and $\cos x$ are even while x^3 and $\sin x$ are odd. Every function $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is expressible as a sum of an even and odd function:

$$(9.1) \quad f(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2},$$

where the first term on the right is even and the second term on the right is odd. Moreover, that expression as a sum of even and odd functions is unique: if $f(x) = E(x) + O(x)$ where E is even and O is odd, then $f(-x) = E(-x) + O(-x) = E(x) - O(x)$, so $f(x) + f(-x) = 2E(x)$ and $f(x) - f(-x) = 2O(x)$. Therefore $E(x) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ and $O(x) = (f(x) - f(-x))/2$. The formulas for the even and odd parts of f are based on averaging and anti-averaging $f(x)$ and $f(-x)$.

This type of decomposition occurs in other settings where there is an additive mapping that iterates twice to the identity map (like $f(x) \mapsto f(-x)$ above, where $f(-(-x)) = f(x)$). Here are two more examples.

- (1) Complex conjugation (where $\bar{\bar{z}} = z$) leads to an “even/odd” decomposition of each complex number z as the unique sum of a real number (where $\bar{w} = w$) and a purely imaginary number (where $\bar{w} = -w$): for $z = a + bi$, $\bar{z} = a - bi$ and

$$z = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} + \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2} = a + bi.$$

- (2) The matrix transpose (where $M^{\top\top} = M$) leads to the unique expression of each square matrix over a field not of characteristic 2 as a sum of matrices that are symmetric ($M^{\top} = M$) and skew-symmetric ($M^{\top} = -M$):

$$A = \frac{A + A^{\top}}{2} + \frac{A - A^{\top}}{2}.$$

- (3) The swap operator on real or complex-valued functions of two variables ($f(x, y) \mapsto f(y, x)$) leads to the unique expression of each such function as a sum of functions that are symmetric ($F(y, x) = F(x, y)$) and anti-symmetric ($F(y, x) = -F(x, y)$):

$$f(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y) + f(y, x)}{2} + \frac{f(x, y) - f(y, x)}{2}.$$

We will now see an analogue of this for finite abelian groups acting on complex vector spaces. For a group G and complex vector space V , a *linear action of G on V* ⁹ is an action $G \times V \rightarrow V$ where $v \mapsto gv$ is linear for each $g \in G$: $g(v + w) = gv + gw$ and $g(cv) = c(gv)$ for all $g \in G$, v and w in V , and $c \in \mathbf{C}$.

Example 9.1. When V is the space of all functions $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the group μ_n of n th roots of unity acts on V by interior scaling: for $r \in \mu_n$ and $f \in V$, let the function $r \cdot f$ be given by

$$(r \cdot f)(z) = f(rz).$$

For instance, $((-1) \cdot f)(z) = f(-z)$.

This is a linear action of μ_n on V : $r \cdot (f_1 + f_2) = r \cdot f_1 + r \cdot f_2$ for all $r \in \mu_n$ and $f_1, f_2 \in V$, and $r \cdot (cf) = c(r \cdot f)$ for all $r \in \mu_n$, $c \in \mathbf{C}$, and $f \in V$.

Example 9.2. When V is the space of all functions $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the group μ_n of n th roots of unity acts on V by exterior scaling: for $r \in \mu_n$ and $f \in V$, let the function rf be given by

$$(r \cdot f)(z) = rf(z).$$

For instance, $((-1) \cdot f)(z) = -f(z)$.

This is a linear action of μ_n on V that is not the same as the action in the previous example (when $n \geq 2$).

Theorem 9.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group and V be a complex vector space on which G acts linearly. For a character χ of G , set $V(\chi) = \{v \in V : gv = \chi(g)v \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. This is a subspace of V and*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} V(\chi).$$

We call $V(\chi)$ the χ -*eigenspace* of V : it's the elements of V on which the effect of each g in G is given by multiplication by the number $\chi(g)$. So $V(\chi)$ is a common eigenspace of all $g \in G$, where their eigenvalues are the numbers $\chi(g)$ associated to a single character of G . For instance, $V(\mathbf{1}_G) = \{v \in V : gv = v \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ is the set of fixed points for all g .

Proof. It's left to the reader to check each $V(\chi)$ is a subspace of V . To show V is the direct sum of all $V(\chi)$, first we'll show $V = \sum_{\chi} V(\chi)$ and then we'll show the sum is direct.

For $v \in V$, here is its piece in $V(\chi)$: set

$$(9.2) \quad v_{\chi} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(g)gv.$$

We'll show $v_{\chi} \in V(\chi)$ for all χ and $v = \sum_{\chi} v_{\chi}$, so $V = \sum_{\chi} V(\chi)$.

⁹This is called a representation of G on V .

Showing $v_\chi \in V(\chi)$ means showing $hv_\chi = \chi(h)v_\chi$ for each $h \in G$. From the definition of v_χ and linearity of the action of G on V , for each $h \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} hv_\chi &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(g)(hg)v \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(h^{-1}g)gv \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(h^{-1})\bar{\chi}(g)gv \\ &= \frac{\chi(h)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(g)gv \\ &= \chi(h)v_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

The v_χ 's sum up to v :

$$\sum_{\chi} v_\chi = \sum_{\chi} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}(g)gv = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g)gv = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \right) gv.$$

The inner sum is 0 unless χ is trivial, at which the inner sum is $|\hat{G}|$, which equals $|G|$, so $\sum_{\chi} v_\chi = (1/|G|)|G|v = v$. Thus $V = \sum_{\chi} V(\chi)$.

To show the sum of the subspaces $V(\chi)$ is a direct sum means showing that if $\sum_{\chi} w_\chi = 0$ for $w_\chi \in V(\chi)$, then each w_χ is 0. Pick $g \in G$ and $\psi \in \hat{G}$. Let g act on both sides of the equation $0 = \sum_{\chi} w_\chi$, so $0 = \sum_{\chi} gw_\chi = \sum_{\chi} \chi(g)w_\chi$ since $w_\chi \in V(\chi)$. Multiplying through this equation by $\bar{\psi}(g)$, $0 = \sum_{\chi} \chi(g)\bar{\psi}(g)w_\chi$. Sum both sides over all g in G :

$$0 = \sum_g \sum_{\chi} \chi(g)\bar{\psi}(g)w_\chi = \sum_{\chi} \left(\sum_g \chi(g)\bar{\psi}(g) \right) w_\chi.$$

The coefficient of w_χ on the right is 0 unless $\chi = \psi$, when the coefficient is $|G|$. Therefore $0 = |G|w_\psi$. Since $|G| \neq 0$ in \mathbf{C} , we get $w_\psi = 0$ and this holds for each ψ in \hat{G} . That proves the decomposition $V = \sum_{\chi} V(\chi)$ is a direct sum decomposition. \square

Example 9.4. Let $|G| = 2$, with $G = \{1, \varepsilon\}$ and $\hat{G} = \{\mathbf{1}_G, \chi\}$. Then $\chi(1) = 1$ and $\chi(\varepsilon) = -1$, so (9.2) in this case says each $v \in V$ is $v_{\mathbf{1}_G} + v_\chi$, where $v_{\mathbf{1}_G} = (v + \varepsilon v)/2$ and $v_\chi = (v - \varepsilon v)/2$, which satisfy $\varepsilon v_{\mathbf{1}_G} = v_{\mathbf{1}_G}$ and $\varepsilon v_\chi = -v_\chi$. This decomposition of v into two parts is just like the even/odd decompositions at the start of this section.

Example 9.5. Consider the interior scaling action of μ_n on functions $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in Example 9.1. The characters of μ_n are the power functions $\chi_j(r) = r^j$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $V(\chi_j) = \{f \in V : f(rz) = r^j f(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{C}\}$. Each $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a sum $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j$ where $f_j(rz) = r^j f_j(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and by (9.2)

$$(9.3) \quad f_j(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r \in \mu_n} \bar{\chi}_j(r)(r \cdot f)(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r \in \mu_n} r^{-j} f(rz).$$

When $n = 2$ this says $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z)$ where $f_0(z) = (f(z) + f(-z))/2$ is even and $f_1(z) = (f(z) - f(-z))/2$ is odd.

When $n = 4$ this says $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z) + f_2(z) + f_3(z)$ where $f_0(iz) = f_0(z)$, $f_1(iz) = if_1(z)$, $f_2(iz) = -f_2(z)$, and $f_3(iz) = -if_3(z)$. Here are formulas for each of these functions, as special cases of (9.3):

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z) &= \frac{f(z) + f(iz) + f(-z) + f(-iz)}{4}, \\ f_1(z) &= \frac{f(z) - if(iz) - f(-z) + if(-iz)}{4}, \\ f_2(z) &= \frac{f(z) - f(iz) + f(-z) - f(-iz)}{4}, \\ f_3(z) &= \frac{f(z) + if(iz) - f(-z) - if(-iz)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 9.6. For the exterior scaling action of μ_n on functions $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in Example 9.2, where μ_n has characters $\chi_j(r) = r^j$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, the χ_j -eigenspace

$$V(\chi_j) = \{f \in V : rf(z) = r^j f(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{C}\}$$

vanishes for nontrivial χ_j : when $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and r is a nontrivial n th root of unity, $r \neq r^j$, so the only way $rf(z) = r^j f(z)$ is if $f(z) = 0$. Easily $V = V(\mathbf{1}) = \{f \in V : rf(z) = r f(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{C}\}$.

As an example, take $n = 2$ and $R: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $R(z) = -z$, so R has order 2. (If we view \mathbf{C} as \mathbf{R}^2 then this is a 180-degree rotation of the plane.) The group $G = \{I, R\}$ of order 2 acts linearly on \mathbf{C} and the whole space “looks odd” under the effect of R : when χ is the nontrivial character of G , $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}(\chi) = \{0\}$.

Exercises.

1. Let $V = \{aX + bX^2 : a, b \in \mathbf{C}\}$, on which $G = \mathbf{Z}/(4)$ acts by interior scaling: $(r \cdot f)(X) = f(rX)$. Let $\chi_j(r) = r^j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and show $V(\chi_0) = V(\chi_3) = \{0\}$ while $V(\chi_1) = \mathbf{C}X$ and $V(\chi_2) = \mathbf{C}X^2$.
2. This exercise builds on Exercise 1.1, which is about characters of $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$.
 - a) When $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$ acts linearly on a complex vector space V , compute v_χ for each $v \in V$ and character χ of $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$.
 - b) Let $V = \mathbf{C}(X)$ be the rational functions over \mathbf{C} and define two linear mappings $\sigma: V \rightarrow V$ and $\tau: V \rightarrow V$ by $\sigma(f(X)) = f(-X)$ and $\tau(f(X)) = f(1/X)$. Each has order 2 and $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ is the linear map $f(X) \mapsto f(-1/X)$. The group $G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \{1, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$, so the action of G on $\mathbf{C}(X)$ can be regarded as a linear action of $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \times \mathbf{Z}/(2)$ on $\mathbf{C}(X)$.
For each $f(X) \in \mathbf{C}(X)$, compute formulas for f_χ where χ runs through the characters of G and check directly that the effect of g on $f_\chi(X)$ is $\chi(g)f(X)$ for each $g \in G$.

APPENDIX A. ALL ORDERS AND MAXIMAL ORDER

We will use characters of finite abelian groups to prove that in a finite abelian group G , the order of each element of G divides the maximal order of the elements of G . Although this result can be proved in a shorter way without characters, the point here is to see characters used.

Lemma A.1. *For positive d and m with $d \mid m$, the natural reduction $(\mathbf{Z}/(m))^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/(d))^\times$ is onto: when $(a, d) = 1$, there is b such that $b \equiv a \pmod{d}$ and $(b, m) = 1$.*

Proof. Let \tilde{d} be the product of the prime powers in m whose primes divide d , so $m = \tilde{d}n$ with $(\tilde{d}, n) = 1$. (For example, if $m = 90$ and $d = 6$ then $\tilde{d} = 18$ and $n = 5$.) Then $d \mid \tilde{d}$. By the Chinese remainder theorem we can find $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfying

$$b \equiv a \pmod{\tilde{d}}, \quad b \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Then $b \equiv a \pmod{d}$ and b is relatively prime to m since it is relatively prime to d (a factor of \tilde{d}) and to n . \square

Lemma A.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group. If $x \in G$ has order m and $y \in G$ has order n then there is a character $\chi: G \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\chi(x)$ has order m and $\chi(y)$ has order n .*

Proof. The subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic of order m , so there is an isomorphism $\chi: \langle x \rangle \cong \mu_m$. In particular, $\chi(x)$ has order m . Following the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can extend χ to a character on $\langle x, y \rangle$ (and then all the way up to G) by sending y to a solution $z \in S^1$ of the equation $z^d = \chi(y^d)$, where $d \geq 1$ is minimal such that $y^d \in \langle x \rangle$. We will show z can be picked to have order n in S^1 .

Since $y^n = 1 \in \langle x \rangle$, d divides n . Then y^d has order n/d , so $\chi(y^d)$ has order n/d because χ is one-to-one on $\langle x \rangle$. Write $\chi(y^d) = e^{2\pi i \ell / (n/d)} = e^{2\pi i \ell d / n}$, where $(\ell, n/d) = 1$. By Lemma A.1, there is an $\ell' \equiv \ell \pmod{n/d}$ such that $(\ell', n) = 1$. Since $\ell' d \equiv \ell d \pmod{n}$, $\chi(y^d) = e^{2\pi i \ell' d / n}$. Set $z = e^{2\pi i \ell' / n}$, which has order n . Since $z^k = \chi(y^d)$, we can set $\chi(y) = z$. \square

Lemma A.2 does not extend to more than two arbitrary elements in G . For instance, if $G = \mu_2 \times \mu_2$ then no character on G sends all three non-identity elements in G to -1 . (Why?)

Theorem A.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group. The order of each element in G divides the maximal order of the elements of G .*

Proof. We will show that when G has elements of orders m and n , there is an element of G of order $[m, n]$. Then if m is the maximal order among all the elements of G , and n is the order of some element of G , $[m, n]$ is the order of some element so $[m, n] \leq m$. That inequality can only occur when $[m, n] = m$, so $n \mid m$, which is what we want to show.

By Lemma A.2, there is a character χ on G such that $\chi(g)$ has order m and $\chi(h)$ has order n . Write $\chi(g) = e^{2\pi i a / m}$ and $\chi(h) = e^{2\pi i b / n}$, where $(a, m) = 1$ and $(b, n) = 1$.

The roots of unity $e^{2\pi i / m}$ and $e^{2\pi i / n}$ are in $\chi(G)$. For instance, letting $aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, $\chi(g^{a'}) = \chi(g)^{a'} = e^{2\pi i a a' / m} = e^{2\pi i / m}$. The argument for $e^{2\pi i / n}$ is similar. Write $mu + nv = (m, n)$ for some integers u and v , so the equation $mn = m, n$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{[m, n]} = \frac{(m, n)}{mn} = \frac{mu + nv}{mn} = u \frac{1}{n} + v \frac{1}{m}.$$

Thus

$$e^{2\pi i / [m, n]} = (e^{2\pi i / n})^u (e^{2\pi i / m})^v \in \chi(G),$$

say $e^{2\pi i / [m, n]} = \chi(t)$. The order of t in G is divisible by the order of $\chi(t)$ in S^1 , so t has order divisible by $[m, n]$. Thus, raising t to a suitable power, we obtain an element of G with order $[m, n]$. \square

APPENDIX B. FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES

When analyzing a function of several variables, it is a common theme to decompose it into a sum of products of functions of one variable. For instance, to solve a PDE like the heat equation $\partial_t u - c\partial_x^2 u = 0$, first separable solutions of the form $u(x, t) = g(x)h(t)$ are classified. It is too much to hope that a general solution is separable, but in nice situations there are theorems guaranteeing that a general solution can be written as an infinite series of separable solutions: $u(x, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n(x)h_n(t)$. This is where expansions in Fourier series first appeared in mathematics.

Using characters, and in particular Parseval's formula, we will give an example of a function of two variables that is provably not a sum of products of functions of one variable.

Lemma B.1. *Fix a positive integer N . For vectors (z_1, \dots, z_N) and (w_1, \dots, w_N) in \mathbf{C}^N ,*

$$\left| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} z_j w_k \right| \leq \sqrt{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N |w_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Write the double sum as an iterated single sum:

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} z_j w_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} w_k \right) z_j = \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{f}(j) z_j,$$

where $f: \mathbf{Z}/(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $f(k) = w_k$. The right side brings in the Fourier transform of f , where we think about \widehat{f} as a function on $\mathbf{Z}/(N)$ by identifying $\mathbf{Z}/(N)$ with its own dual group as in Exercise 3.1.

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{f}(j) z_j \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\widehat{f}(j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Parseval's formula on $\mathbf{Z}/(N)$, $\sum_{j=1}^N |\widehat{f}(j)|^2 = N \sum_{k=1}^N |f(k)|^2 = N \sum_{k=1}^N |w_k|^2$. \square

Theorem B.2. *It is impossible to write*

$$e^{2\pi i x k} = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n(x) h_n(k),$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, the functions $g_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $h_n: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are each bounded, and $\sum_{n \geq 1} \|g_n\|_{\text{sup}} \|h_n\|_{\text{sup}} < \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sup}}$ is the sup-norm on bounded functions.

Proof. Assume there is a series expansion

$$e^{2\pi i x k} = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n(x) h_n(k)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $c := \sum_{n \geq 1} \|g_n\|_{\text{sup}} \|h_n\|_{\text{sup}} < \infty$. Then the series is absolutely convergent for all x and k . Pick $N \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_N \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} e^{2\pi i x_j k} = \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} g_n(x_j) h_n(k) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} g_n(x_j) h_n(k).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} e^{2\pi ix_jk} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} g_n(x_j) h_n(k) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} g_n(x_j) h_n(k) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \sqrt{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |g_n(x_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N |h_n(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma B.1. Since $\sum_{j=1}^N |g_n(x_j)|^2 \leq N \|g_n\|_{\text{sup}}^2$ and $\sum_{k=1}^N |h_n(k)|^2 \leq N \|h_n\|_{\text{sup}}^2$,

$$\left| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} e^{2\pi ix_jk} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \sqrt{N} \sqrt{N} \|g_n\|_{\text{sup}} \sqrt{N} \|h_n\|_{\text{sup}} \leq N^{3/2} c.$$

Now set $x_j = j/N$:

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} e^{2\pi ix_jk} = \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{-2\pi ijk/N} e^{2\pi ijk/N} = N^2,$$

so $N^2 \leq N^{3/2} c$ for all $N \geq 1$. This is false when N is large enough ($N > c^2$). \square

This theorem says we can't write $e^{2\pi ixk} = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n(x) h_n(k)$ where the functions g_n and h_n are bounded and the series converges absolutely (since convergence of $\sum_{n \geq 1} \|g_n\|_{\text{sup}} \|h_n\|_{\text{sup}}$ implies absolute convergence). Could there be such a series representation of $e^{2\pi ixk}$ that is conditionally convergent?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Terras, "Fourier Analysis on Finite Groups and Applications," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] P. Shor, *Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9508027v2>.
- [3] W. Trappe and L. Washington, "Introduction to Cryptography with Coding Theory," Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 2002.
- [4] L. Washington, "Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields," 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [5] N. Wiener, "I am a Mathematician," MIT Press, Cambridge, 1964.