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The numbers

$$
H_{n}=1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}
$$

are called harmonic sums. Integrals can approximate these sums as real numbers, e.g., $H_{n}$ and $\int_{1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} t / t=\log n$ differ by a bounded amount $\left(H_{n}-\int_{1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} t / t\right.$ is positive and montonically decreasing), so the $H_{n}$ 's slowly diverge in $\mathbf{R}$. We are interested here in the arithmetic behavior of harmonic sums, i.e., their $p$-adic growth. This is definitely not an important topic, but it is interesting to compare what happens with this rational sequence across the real and different $p$-adic landscapes.

Here are some initial values:

| $n$ | $H_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $3 / 2$ |
| 3 | $11 / 6$ |
| 4 | $25 / 12$ |
| 5 | $137 / 60$ |
| 6 | $49 / 20$ |
| 7 | $363 / 140$ |
| 8 | $761 / 280$ |
| 9 | $7129 / 2520$ |
| 10 | $7381 / 2520$ |
| 11 | $83711 / 27720$ |

Table 1. Harmonic sums

For $n>1$, it appears that $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}$. More precisely, the numerator of $H_{n}$ is odd and the denominator of $H_{n}$ is even. This suggests a strategy for proving $H_{n}$ is not an integer.

Theorem 1 (Theisinger). For all $n \geq 2, H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}$.
Proof. Let $L$ be the least common multiple of $1,2, \ldots, n$, so $H_{n}$ can be written as a fraction with denominator $L$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, write $L=k a_{k}$ with $a_{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, so $1 / k=a_{k} / L$. Then

$$
H_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}{L} .
$$

Since $n \geq 2, L$ is even. We will show $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}$ is odd, so the ratio is not integral.
Set $2^{r}$ to be the largest power of 2 up to $n: 2^{r} \leq n<2^{r+1}$. The only integer up to $n$ divisible by $2^{r}$ is $2^{r}$ itself, since $2 \cdot 2^{r}>n$. Therefore $L=2^{r} b$ where $b$ is odd, so $2^{r} b=k a_{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. When $k=2^{r}$ we see that $a_{k}=b$ is odd. When $k \neq 2^{r}, k$ is not divisible by $2^{r}$, so $a_{k}$ must be even. Therefore in the numerator $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}$, one term (at $k=2^{r}$ ) is odd and the rest are even, so the total sum is odd.

Theisinger [4] did not prove Theorem 1 using anything $p$-adic: he used determinants and Bertrand's postulate, which says there is always a prime between $n$ and $2 n$ when $n \geq 2 .{ }^{1}$

The proof above for Theorem 1 presents it as a 2-adic result: $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}$ because $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Here is a second proof of Theorem 1 brings out 2-adic features more clearly.
Proof. Let $2^{r} \leq n<2^{r+1}$, so $r \geq 1$ and the highest power of 2 that appears in some reciprocal in the sum defining $H_{n}$ is $2^{r}$. The only reciprocal in $H_{n}$ with denominator divisible by $2^{r}$ is $1 / 2^{r}$. Indeed, every other such reciprocal would be $1 /\left(2^{r} c\right)$ for odd $c>1$, but if that term is in the sum then so is $1 /\left(2^{r} \cdot 2\right)=1 / 2^{r+1}$, which is false since $2^{r+1}>n$. Therefore $1 / 2^{r}$ has a more highly negative 2-adic valuation than every other term in $H_{n}$, so it is not cancelled out in the sum. This means $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, so $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

This proof gives a formula for the 2-adic valuation of the harmonic sums: $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(H_{n}\right)=-r$ where $2^{r} \leq n<2^{r+1}$. To see this formula in action, we rewrite the initial harmonic sums in Table 2 with the power of 2 in the denominators made explicit. The exponent jumps when $n$ is a power of 2 .

| $n$ | $H_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $3 / 2$ |
| 3 | $11 / 2 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | $25 / 2^{2} \cdot 3$ |
| 5 | $137 / 2^{2} \cdot 15$ |
| 6 | $49 / 2^{2} \cdot 5$ |
| 7 | $363 / 2^{2} \cdot 35$ |
| 8 | $761 / 2^{3} \cdot 35$ |
| 9 | $7129 / 2^{3} \cdot 315$ |
| 10 | $7381 / 2^{3} \cdot 2520$ |
| 11 | $83711 / 2^{3} \cdot 3465$ |

Table 2. Harmonic sums viewed 2-adically

Since $\left|H_{n}\right|_{2}=2^{r}$ if $2^{r} \leq n<2^{r+1},\left|H_{n}\right|_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (explicitly, $n / 2<\left|H_{n}\right|_{2} \leq n$ ). Does $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for odd primes $p$ ? We will address this later.
Theorem 2 (Kürschák). For $m \leq n-2, H_{n}-H_{m} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. In particular, $H_{n}-H_{m} \notin \mathbf{Z}$.
Taking $m=1$ and $n \geq 3$ recovers Theorem 1 for $n \geq 3$ since $H_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Theorem 2 is false if $m=n-1$ and $n$ is odd, since then $H_{n}-H_{m}=1 / n \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$.
Proof. Writing

$$
H_{n}-H_{m}=\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \frac{1}{k},
$$

we will show there is a unique term in the sum with the most negative 2 -adic valuation. Let $r=\max _{m<k \leq n} \operatorname{ord}_{2}(k)$. Since $n \geq m+2$, the sum $H_{n}-H_{m}$ has at least two terms in it, so some $k$ is even and therefore $r \geq 1$.

We will show there is only one integer from $m$ up to $n-1$ with 2 -adic valuation $r$. Suppose there are two such numbers. Write them as $2^{r} c$ and $2^{r} d$ with odd $c<d$. Then $c+1$ is even and $2^{r} c<2^{r}(c+1)<2^{r} d$, so $1 /\left(2^{r}(c+1)\right)$ appears in $H_{n}-H_{m}$. But $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(2^{r}(c+1)\right) \geq r+1$ since $c$ is odd. This contradicts the definition of $r$. Therefore there is only one term in $H_{n}-H_{m}$ with 2-adic valuation $-r$, so $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(H_{n}-H_{m}\right)=-r$.

[^0]This type of 2 -adic argument (in the setting of rational numbers, not 2 -adic numbers) is due to Kürschák [3], so he should be credited with the 2 -adic proof of Theorem 1.

We now turn to the $p$-adic behavior of $H_{n}$ for $p \neq 2$. The material below is based on the notes "Harmonics and Primes" by Nicholas Rogers.

The harmonic sums $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{p-1}$ are all $p$-adically integral, since the denominators appearing in them are prime to $p$ and thus are $p$-adic integers (even $p$-adic units). Since $1 / p$ is outside the ring $\mathbf{Z}_{p}, H_{p}=H_{p-1}+1 / p$ is outside $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ : $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(H_{p}\right)=-1$. It need not be true (as it is for $p=2$ ) that $H_{n} \notin \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ for all $n \geq p$. For example, $H_{3}, H_{4}$ and $H_{5}$ are outside $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ (all with 3 -adic valuation -1 ), but $H_{6}, H_{7}$, and $H_{8}$ are in $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$. Then $H_{9}, H_{10}, \ldots, H_{20}$ are outside of $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$. The harmonic sums return to $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ for $H_{21}, H_{22}$, and $H_{23}$, then leave $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ and come back in again for $H_{66}, H_{67}$, and $H_{68}$. Then the harmonic sums leave $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ and never return. In fact, $\left|H_{n}\right|_{3} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We will not discuss the proof of this, but the next theorem gives a simple connection between $p$-adic integrality and $p$-adic divergence of the harmonic sums.

Theorem 3. For a prime $p$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\left\{n \geq 1: H_{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right\}$ is finite,
(2) $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Trivially the second condition implies the first. To prove the (more interesting) reverse implication, pick $n \geq 1$ and write $n=p q+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq p-1$. Since $1 / k \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ when $(p, k)=1$, in the quotient group $\mathbf{Q}_{p} / \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ a harmonic sum is equal to the sum of its terms whose denominators are divisible by $p$, so

$$
H_{n} \equiv \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2 p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p q}=\frac{1}{p} H_{q},
$$

so $H_{n}-(1 / p) H_{q} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}$.
We are assuming that $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \leq 1$ only finitely many times, so there is some $N_{0} \geq 1$ such that $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p}>1$ for $n \geq N_{0}$. We will show this $N_{0}$ controls the $p$-adic divergence of the harmonic sums: for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
n \geq p^{k} N_{0} \Longrightarrow\left|H_{n}\right|_{p}>p^{k}
$$

For $k=0$ this is true by the definition of $N_{0}$. Assuming it is true for $k$, suppose $n \geq p^{k+1} N_{0}$. Writing $n=p q+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, from $p q+r \geq p^{k+1} N_{0}$ we must have $q \geq p^{k} N_{0}$, so $\left|H_{q}\right|_{p}>p^{k}$. Therefore $\left|(1 / p) H_{q}\right|_{p}>p^{k+1}$. Since $H_{n}-(1 / p) H_{q} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}$,

$$
\left|H_{n}-\frac{1}{p} H_{q}\right|_{p} \leq 1<p^{k+1}=\left|\frac{1}{p} H_{q}\right|_{p},
$$

so by the nonarchimedean property $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p}=\left|(1 / p) H_{q}\right|_{p}>p^{k+1}$.
The same reasoning shows $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if the set $\left\{n \geq 1: H_{n} \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right\}$ is finite. (Replace the strict inequalities $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p}>1$ and $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p}>p^{k}$ in the proof with $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \geq 1$ and $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \geq p^{k}$.) This set, introduced in [2], is denoted

$$
J(p)=\left\{n \geq 1: H_{n} \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right\} .
$$

To say $n \notin J(p)$ means $H_{n}$ has no $p$ in its numerator. For instance, Theorem 1 says $J(2)=\emptyset$. In [2] it is proved that $J(3)=\{2,7,22\}, J(5)=\{4,20,24\}$, and

$$
J(7)=\{6,42,48,295,299,337,341,2096,2390,14675,16731,16735,102728\} .
$$

The set $J(11)$ has 638 elements [1]. It is conjectured that $J(p)$ is finite for all $p$, which by Theorem 3 is equivalent to saying $\left|H_{n}\right|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $p$.

The rest of our discussion will focus on the particular sum $H_{p-1}$.

Theorem 4. For each odd prime $p, H_{p-1} \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Therefore $J(p) \neq \emptyset$ for $p>2$.
Proof. In the sum

$$
H_{p-1}=1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p-1}
$$

each term is a unit in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$, so we can reduce modulo $p$ and check that $H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \bmod p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. The integers $\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ represent the units modulo $p$, so their inverses do as well. Thus, after replacing each $1 / k$ with the integer from 1 to $p-1$ that is equal to it in $\mathbf{Z}_{p} /(p)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{p-1} & \equiv 1+2+\cdots+p-1 \bmod p \\
& \equiv \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \bmod p \\
& \equiv 0 \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we needed $p \neq 2$.
In Table 3, we factor the initial harmonic sums into primes, and can see in this data (for $p=5,7$, and 11) that not only is $H_{p-1}$ divisible by $p$, but by $p^{2}$.

| $n$ | $H_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $3 / 2$ |
| 3 | $11 / 2 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | $5^{2} / 2^{2} \cdot 3$ |
| 5 | $137 / 2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ |
| 6 | $7^{2} / 2^{2} \cdot 5$ |
| 7 | $3 \cdot 11^{2} / 2^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ |
| 8 | $761 / 2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ |
| 9 | $7129 / 2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ |
| 10 | $11^{2} \cdot 61 / 2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ |
| 11 | $97 \cdot 863 / 2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ |

TABLE 3. Harmonic sums fully factored

Theorem 5 (Wolstenholme [5], 1862). For each prime $p \geq 5, H_{p-1} \in p^{2} \mathbf{Z}_{p}$.
Proof. We collect terms in $H_{p-1}$ that are equidistant from the middle of the sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{p-1} & =1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p-1} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p-2}\right)+\cdots+\left(\frac{1}{(p-1) / 2}+\frac{1}{(p+1) / 2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{p-k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{p}{k(p-k)}=p \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{1}{k(p-k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since a $p$ has been pulled out, we want to show the last sum is in $p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. The terms in the sum are $p$-adic units, and reducing the terms modulo $p$ yields

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{1}{k(p-k)} \equiv-\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \bmod p \mathbf{Z}_{p}
$$

The numbers $1^{2}, \ldots,((p-1) / 2)^{2}$ represent all the nonzero squares modulo $p$, so their reciprocals also represent the nonzero squares modulo $p$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} k^{2} \bmod p \mathbf{Z}_{p}
$$

Using the formula $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=n(n+1)(2 n+1) / 6$ with $n=(p-1) / 2$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1) / 2} k^{2}=\frac{p\left(p^{2}-1\right)}{24}
$$

Since $p>3,(p, 24)=1$, so this sum is in $p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ and we're done.
Remark 6. Wolstenholme's paper writes $n$ ! using the obsolete "corner" notation. See https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/factorials/.

Theorem $5 \operatorname{says}^{\operatorname{ord}_{p}}\left(H_{p-1}\right) \geq 2$. If one calculates $H_{p-1}$ for primes $3<p<10000$, always $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(H_{p-1}\right)=2$. But at $p=16843$ and at $p=2124679, \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(H_{p-1}\right)=3$. No further examples are known where $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(H_{p-1}\right)>2$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theisinger referred to Bertrand's postulate as Chebyshev's theorem, since Chebyshev had proved it.

