

# DEDEKIND'S INDEX THEOREM

KEITH CONRAD

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial  $f(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . For a prime number  $p$ , its prime ideal decomposition in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be read off from the irreducible factorization of  $f(T) \bmod p$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  provided  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ :

$$(1.1) \quad f(T) \equiv \bar{\pi}_1(T)^{e_1} \cdots \bar{\pi}_g(T)^{e_g} \bmod p \implies p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_g^{e_g},$$

where  $\bar{\pi}_1(T), \dots, \bar{\pi}_g(T)$  are distinct monic irreducibles in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{p}_i) = p^{\deg \bar{\pi}_i}$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (p, \pi_i(\alpha))$  where  $\pi_i(T)$  is an arbitrary monic lift of  $\bar{\pi}_i(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ . This important result is due to Dedekind [2, Sect. 2].

If  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  then the factorization of  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  may or may not match that of  $f(T) \bmod p$ .

**Example 1.1.** If  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{12})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 12$  then  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \bmod 2$  and  $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^3$ , but the factorization of  $2\mathcal{O}_K$  is not from (1.1) with  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{12}$ , since  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]] = 2$ :  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\sqrt[3]{12} + \mathbf{Z}\sqrt[3]{18} = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\sqrt[3]{12} + \mathbf{Z}\sqrt[3]{12}^2/2$ .

We can instead rewrite  $K$  as  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{18})$ , set  $f(T) = T^3 - 18$ , and now  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{18}]] = 3$ , an index not divisible by 2, so the factorization  $T^3 - 18 \equiv T^3 \bmod 2$  implies  $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^3$ .

**Example 1.2.** If  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{10})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 10$  then  $f(T) \equiv (T - 1)^3 \bmod 3$  while  $3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^2$ . Here  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]] = 3$ , and in fact  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  where  $\alpha = (\sqrt[3]{10}^2 + \sqrt[3]{10} + 1)/3$  has minimal polynomial  $T^3 - T^2 - 3T - 3$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $T^3 - T^2 - 3T - 3 \equiv (T - 1)T^2 \bmod 3$ .

Without knowing  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , we can apply (1.1) to the primes  $p$  not dividing the discriminant of  $f(T)$  since  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]^2 \mid \text{disc}(f)$ . To know whether (1.1) is applicable to a prime dividing  $\text{disc}(f)$ , we would like to know which prime factors of  $\text{disc}(f)$  actually divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

It turns out that there is a nice necessary and sufficient condition for  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  that is valid for all primes and does not require knowing  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial  $f(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . For a prime  $p$ , let the monic irreducible factorization of  $f(T) \bmod p$  be*

$$(1.2) \quad f(T) \equiv \bar{\pi}_1(T)^{e_1} \cdots \bar{\pi}_g(T)^{e_g} \bmod p.$$

*Let  $\pi_j(T)$  be a monic lift of  $\bar{\pi}_j(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$  and define  $F(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$  by*

$$(1.3) \quad f(T) = \pi_1(T)^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g(T)^{e_g} + pF(T).$$

*Then*

$$p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]] \iff \bar{\pi}_j(T) \mid \bar{F}(T) \text{ in } \mathbf{F}_p[T] \text{ for some } j \text{ such that } e_j \geq 2.$$

This is due to Dedekind [2, Sect. 3], so we call it Dedekind's index theorem.

## 2. EXAMPLES

Before proving Dedekind's index theorem, let's look at some examples of it at work. Since  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]^2 \mid \text{disc}(f)$ , the only primes that might divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  are primes dividing  $\text{disc}(f)$  with multiplicity at least 2.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{12})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 12$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -3888 = -2^4 \cdot 3^5$ , the only possible prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]]$  are 2 or 3.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Write

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -6,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ , so  $\boxed{2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]]}$ .

Case 2:  $p = 3$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -4,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ . Thus  $3 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]]$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{10})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 10$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -2700 = -2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2$ , the only possible prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]]$  are 2, 3, and 5.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -5,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ . Thus  $2 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]]$ .

Case 2:  $p = 3$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv (T - 1)^3 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T - 1$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T - 1)^3 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T^2 - T - 3,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv T(T - 1) \pmod{3}$ . Thus  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ , so  $\boxed{3 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]]}$ .

Case 3:  $p = 5$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{5}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 5F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -2,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_5[T]$ . Thus  $5 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]]$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 2$ , so  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -108 = -2^2 \cdot 3^3$ . The only primes that might divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]]$  are 2 and 3.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -1,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ . Thus  $2 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]]$ .

Case 2:  $p = 3$ .

Since  $f(T) \equiv (T + 1)^3 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T + 1$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T + 1)^3 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -T^2 - T - 1,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv -(T + 2)^2 \pmod{3}$ . Thus  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ , so  $3 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]]$ .

By Cases 1 and 2,  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]] = 1$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{44})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 44$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -52272 = -2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 11^2$ , the only possible prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]]$  are 2, 3, and 11.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -22,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ , so  $\boxed{2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]]}$ .

Case 2:  $p = 3$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv (T+1)^3 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T+1$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T+1)^3 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -T^2 - T - 15,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv -T(T+1) \pmod{3}$ , which shows  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ . Thus  $\boxed{3 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]]}$ .

Case 3:  $p = 11$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{11}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 11F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -4,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_{11}[T]$ . Thus  $11 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]]$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^3 - T^2 - 2T - 8$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -2012 = -2^2 \cdot 503$ , the only prime that might divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  is 2.

From  $f(T) \equiv T^2(T+1) \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ , and  $\pi_2(T) = T+1$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^2(T+1) + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -T^2 - T - 4,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv T(T+1) \pmod{2}$ . Since  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ ,  $\boxed{2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]}$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^3 + 2T + 22$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = -13100 = -2^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 131$ , the only primes that might divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  are 2 and 5.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T + 11,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv T + 1 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ , so  $2 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

Case 2:  $p = 5$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv (T+2)(T-1)^2 \pmod{5}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T+2$  and  $\pi_2(T) = T-1$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T+2)(T-1)^2 + 5F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T + 4,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv T - 1 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\pi}_2(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_5[T]$ , so  $\boxed{5 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]}$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^4 + 2T^2 + 3T + 1$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = 117 = 3^2 \cdot 13$ , the only prime that might divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  is 3.

Since  $f(T) \equiv (T^2 + 1)^2 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T^2 + 1$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T^2 + 1)^2 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T,$$

so  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ . Therefore  $3 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $f(T) = T^4 + T^2 + 4$ . Since  $\text{disc}(f(T)) = 14400 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$ , the only possible prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[4]{5}]]$  are 2, 3, and 5.

Case 1:  $p = 2$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv T^2(T+1)^2 \pmod{2}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T$  and  $\pi_2(T) = T+1$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^2(T+1)^2 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -T^3 + 2,$$

so  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\pi}_1(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ , so  $\boxed{2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]}$ .

Case 1:  $p = 3$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv (T+1)^2(T+2)^2 \pmod{3}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T+1$  and  $\pi_2(T) = T+2$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T+1)^2(T+2)^2 + 3F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = -2T^3 - 4T^2 - 4T,$$

so  $f(T) \equiv T(T^2 + 2T + 2) \pmod{3}$ . In  $\mathbf{F}_3[T]$ ,  $\bar{F}(T)$  is not divisible by  $\bar{\pi}_1(T)$  or  $\bar{\pi}_2(T)$ , so  $3 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

Case 3:  $p = 5$ .

From  $f(T) \equiv (T^2 - 2)^2 \pmod{5}$ , take  $\pi_1(T) = T^2 - 2$ . Then

$$f(T) = (T^2 - 2)^2 + 5F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T^2,$$

so  $\bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_5[T]$  is not divisible by  $\bar{\pi}_1(T)$  or  $\bar{\pi}_2(T)$ . Therefore  $5 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[4]{5}]]$ .

**Example 2.9.** Suppose  $f(T) = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1T + a_0$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$  where  $p \mid a_j$  for all  $j$ . Then  $f(T) \equiv T^n \pmod{p}$ . Then

$$f(T) = T^n + pF(T) \text{ for } F(T) = \frac{a_{n-1}}{p}T^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{a_1}{p}T + \frac{a_0}{p},$$

so  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  if and only if  $\bar{F}(T)$  is divisible by  $T$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ , which is equivalent to  $p^2 \mid a_0$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  if and only if  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ , which is equivalent to  $f(T)$  being Eisenstein at  $p$ .

For instance, if  $f(T) = T^3 + 2T + 4$  (it is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$  since it is irreducible mod 3), then  $\boxed{2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]}$  where  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Example 2.10.** Suppose  $f(T) \pmod{p}$  is separable. Then every  $e_j$  is 1 in Theorem 1.3, so  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . That also follows from the fact that  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  divides  $\text{disc}(f)$  and  $\text{disc}(f) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by separability mod  $p$ .

### 3. PROOF OF DEDEKIND'S INDEX THEOREM

Now we'll prove Dedekind's index theorem, following Dedekind's own argument.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) We prove the contrapositive: if  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  then  $\bar{\pi}_j(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  whenever  $e_j \geq 2$ , where  $e_j$  is taken from (1.2).

If  $\bar{\pi}_j(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  for some  $j$  then  $F(T) = \pi_j(T)A(T) + pB(T)$  for some  $A(T)$  and  $B(T)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ , which upon setting  $T = \alpha$  shows  $F(\alpha) \in (p, \pi_j(\alpha))$ . Thanks to (1.1), which can be used since  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_j \mid (F(\alpha))$ . We will show for  $e_j \geq 2$  that  $\mathfrak{p}_j \nmid (F(\alpha))$ , so  $\bar{\pi}_j(T) \nmid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ .

In (1.3), set  $T = \alpha$  to get

$$\pi_1(\alpha)^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g(\alpha)^{e_g} = -pF(\alpha),$$

so we have an equation of principal ideals

$$(3.1) \quad (\pi_1(\alpha))^{e_1} \cdots (\pi_g(\alpha))^{e_g} = (p)(F(\alpha)).$$

To get  $\mathfrak{p}_j \nmid (F(\alpha))$  from this, we'll compute the highest power of  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  on both sides.

Since  $\mathfrak{p}_j = (p, \pi_j(\alpha)) = \text{gcd}((p), (\pi_j(\alpha)))$  and  $e_j \geq 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_j^2 \mid (p)$  by the factorization of  $(p)$  in (1.1). Thus  $\mathfrak{p}_j^2 \nmid (\pi_j(\alpha))$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  divides  $(\pi_j(\alpha))$  just once. For  $i \neq j$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  are distinct

prime ideals, so  $\mathfrak{p}_j \nmid (\pi_i(\alpha))$ , (otherwise  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  divides  $\gcd((p), (\pi_i(\alpha))) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ , which it doesn't). On the left side of (3.1), the highest power of  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  in its factorization is therefore  $e_j$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} \mid (p)$ , (3.1) tells us  $\mathfrak{p}_j \nmid (F(\alpha))$ .

( $\implies$ ) Assuming  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ , we will show  $\overline{F}(T)$  is divisible by some  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  such that  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)^2 \mid \overline{f}(T)$  (i.e.,  $e_j \geq 2$  in (1.2)).

That  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  has order divisible by  $p$  implies some  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is in  $(1/p)\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ . Therefore

$$p\beta = c_0 + c_1\alpha + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}$$

where  $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}] = \deg f$  and the coefficients  $c_j$  are integers where at least one of them is not divisible by  $p$ . In  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ , set

$$\overline{A}(T) = \gcd(\overline{c}_0 + \overline{c}_1T + \cdots + \overline{c}_{n-1}T^{n-1}, \overline{f}(T)),$$

This is a proper factor of  $\overline{f}(T)$  since the first term in the gcd is nonzero of degree less than  $n$ , and for simplicity take  $\overline{A}(T)$  to be a monic gcd. Write

$$(3.2) \quad \overline{f}(T) = \overline{A}(T)\overline{B}(T) \text{ in } \mathbf{F}_p[T],$$

so  $\overline{B}(T)$  is monic and nonconstant.

By unique factorization in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ ,  $\overline{A}(T)$  and  $\overline{B}(T)$  are complementary factors from the irreducible factorization  $\prod_{j=1}^g \overline{\pi}_j(T)^{e_j}$  of  $\overline{f}(T)$ . Let  $A(T)$  and  $B(T)$  be the monic lifts of  $\overline{A}(T)$  and  $\overline{B}(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$  that are built from the monic lifts  $\pi_j(T)$  of  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$ , so

$$A(T)B(T) = \prod_{j=1}^g \pi_j(T)^{e_j} = f(T) - pF(T).$$

Setting  $T = \alpha$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad A(\alpha)B(\alpha) = -pF(\alpha).$$

In  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ , we can write  $\overline{A}(T)$  as an  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ -linear combination using its definition as a gcd:

$$\overline{A}(T) = (\overline{c}_0 + \overline{c}_1T + \cdots + \overline{c}_{n-1}T^{n-1})u(T) + \overline{f}(T)v(T).$$

We can set  $T = \alpha$  on both sides as long as we view the values on both sides in  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ :

$$A(\alpha) \equiv (c_0 + c_1\alpha + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1})u(\alpha) \equiv (p\beta)u(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$$

since  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus  $\boxed{p \mid A(\alpha)}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $A(\alpha)/p$  is an algebraic integer in  $K$ , it satisfies a monic relation of integral dependence over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , say

$$\left(\frac{A(\alpha)}{p}\right)^d + a_{d-1} \left(\frac{A(\alpha)}{p}\right)^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 \left(\frac{A(\alpha)}{p}\right) + a_0 = 0$$

for some  $d \geq 1$  and integers  $a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}$ . Multiply through by  $p^d$ :

$$(3.4) \quad A(\alpha)^d + pa_{d-1}A(\alpha)^{d-1} + \cdots + p^{d-1}a_1A(\alpha) + p^da_0 = 0.$$

Every polynomial in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$  vanishing at  $\alpha$  is divisible by  $f(T)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ , so

$$A(T)^d + pa_{d-1}A(T)^{d-1} + \cdots + p^{d-1}a_1A(T) + p^da_0 = f(T)h(T)$$

for some  $h(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . Reducing both sides modulo  $p$ ,

$$\overline{A}(T)^d = \overline{f}(T)\overline{h}(T) = \overline{A}(T)\overline{B}(T)\overline{h}(T)$$

in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ . Therefore each irreducible factor of  $\overline{B}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  divides  $\overline{A}(T)$ .

We explained earlier why  $\overline{B}(T)$  is nonconstant, so  $\overline{B}(T)$  has a monic irreducible factor, say  $\overline{\pi}(T)$ . Then  $\overline{\pi}(T) \mid \overline{A}(T)$  too, so  $\overline{\pi}(T)^2 \mid \overline{f}(T)$  by (3.2). That shows  $\overline{\pi}(T)$  is some  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  where  $e_j \geq 2$ . Next we will show  $\overline{\pi}(T) \mid \overline{F}(T)$ .

Multiply both sides of (3.4) by  $B(\alpha)^d$  and use (3.3):

$$p^d(-F(\alpha))^d + p^d a_{d-1} B(\alpha)(-F(\alpha))^{d-1} + \cdots + p^d a_1 B(\alpha)^{d-1}(-F(\alpha)) + p^d a_0 B(\alpha)^d = 0.$$

Each term on the left has a factor  $p^d$ , so divide through by  $p^d$ :

$$(-F(\alpha))^d + a_{d-1} B(\alpha)(-F(\alpha))^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 B(\alpha)^{d-1}(-F(\alpha)) + a_0 B(\alpha)^d = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(-F(T))^d + a_{d-1} B(T)(-F(T))^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 B(T)^{d-1}(-F(T)) + a_0 B(T)^d = f(T)k(T)$$

for some  $k(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . Reduce both sides modulo  $p$ . Since  $\overline{f}(T)$  and  $\overline{B}(T)$  are divisible by  $\overline{\pi}(T)$ , we get  $\overline{\pi}(T) \mid \overline{F}(T)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. AN ALGEBRAIC INTEGER NOT IN $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ WHEN $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$

If  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  then  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  has order divisible by  $p$ : there's some  $h(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  such that  $h(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ . In principle, we can find  $h(\alpha)$  by searching through the  $p^n - 1$  nonzero cosets of  $(1/p)\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]/\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  until we find an algebraic integer. But such a brute force search is not necessary: Dedekind gave a method of constructing  $h(\alpha)$  from a choice of  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  dividing  $\overline{F}(T)$  with  $e_j \geq 2$  in (1.2). Such  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  exists by Dedekind's index theorem because  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *With the notation of Theorem 1.3, suppose  $\overline{\pi}(T) \mid \overline{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  where  $\overline{\pi}(T)^2 \mid \overline{f}(T)$ . Here are two ways to build  $h(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  such that  $h(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .*

- If  $h(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$  is a monic lift of  $\overline{f}(T)/\overline{\pi}(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ , then  $h(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .
- If  $f(T) = \pi(T)q(T) + r(T)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$  where  $\deg r < \deg \pi$ , then  $q(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .<sup>1</sup>

In the second method,  $\overline{r}(T) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$  since  $\overline{\pi}(T) \mid \overline{f}(T)$  and  $\pi(T)$  is monic, but  $r(T) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ : otherwise  $\pi(T) \mid f(T)$ , which would contradict the irreducibility of  $f(T)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ , since  $\deg \pi \leq (\deg f)/2$  since  $\overline{\pi}(T)^2 \mid \overline{f}(T)$ .

Let's put Theorem 4.1 to work before proving it, to appreciate what it does.

**Example 4.2.** In Example 2.1, where  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{12})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 12$ ,  $2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]]$  with  $\pi(T) = T$ ,  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)T^2 \pmod{2}$ , so we can use  $h(T) = T^2$ . Therefore  $\sqrt[3]{12}^2/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{12}]$ . Note  $\sqrt[3]{12}^2/2 = \sqrt[3]{18}$ .

**Example 4.3.** In Example 2.2, where  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{10})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 10$ ,  $3 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]]$  with  $\pi(T) = T - 1$ ,  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)(T - 1)^2 \pmod{3}$ , so  $h(T)$  be be a monic lift of  $(T - 1)^2 \equiv T^2 + T + 1 \pmod{3}$ . Use  $h(T) = T^2 + T + 1$ , so  $(\sqrt[3]{10}^2 + \sqrt[3]{10} + 1)/3 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{10}]$ .

**Example 4.4.** In Example 2.4, where  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{44})$  and  $f(T) = T^3 - 44$ , 2 and 3 both divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]]$ .

For  $p = 2$ ,  $\pi(T) = T$  and  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)T^2 \pmod{2}$ , so we can use  $h(T) = T^2$ :  $\sqrt[3]{44}^2/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]$ .

<sup>1</sup>This comes from Theorem 8.2 of <https://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~psh/ANTproc/08psh.pdf>.

For  $p = 3$ ,  $\pi(T) = T + 1$  and  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)(T + 1)^2 \pmod{3}$ , so  $h(T)$  can be a monic lift of  $(T + 1)^2 \equiv T^2 + 2T + 1 \pmod{3}$ . Using  $h(T) = T^2 - T + 1$ ,  $(\sqrt[3]{44}^2 - \sqrt[3]{44} + 1)/3 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt[3]{44}]$ .

**Example 4.5.** In Example 2.5,  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^3 - T^2 - 2T - 8$  and  $2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . Here  $\pi(T) = T$  and  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)(T^2 + T) \pmod{2}$ , so use  $h(T) = T^2 + T$ :  $(\alpha^2 + \alpha)/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .

**Example 4.6.** in Example 2.6,  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^3 + 2T + 22$  and  $5 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . Here  $\pi(T) = T - 1$  and  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)(T^2 + T + 3) \pmod{5}$ , so use  $h(T) = T^2 + T + 3$ :  $(\alpha^2 + \alpha + 3)/5 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .

**Example 4.7.** In Example 2.8,  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^4 + T^2 + 4$  and  $2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . Here  $\pi(T)$  is  $T$  and  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)(T^3 + T) \pmod{2}$ , so use  $h(T) = T^3 + T$ :  $(\alpha^3 + \alpha)/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ . (In fact,  $(\alpha^3 + \alpha)/2$  is another root of  $f(T)$ .)

**Example 4.8.** In Example 2.9,  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(T) = T^3 + 2T + 4$  and  $2 \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . We have  $f(T) \equiv T^3 \pmod{2}$  and

$$f(T) = T^3 + 2F(T) \text{ for } F(T) = T + 2,$$

so  $F(T) \equiv T \pmod{2}$ . Take  $\pi(T) = T$ , so  $\bar{\pi}(T)^2 \mid \bar{f}(T)$  and  $\bar{\pi}(T) \mid \bar{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_2[T]$ . Since  $f(T) \equiv \pi(T)T^2 \pmod{2}$ , use  $h(T) = T^2$ :  $\alpha^2/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ .

Here are two cases covering Examples 4.2, 4.4 (for  $p = 2$ ), and 4.8, with  $h(T) = T^{n-1}$ .

- If  $f(T) = T^n - p^2m$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $\alpha^{n-1}/p \notin \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $\alpha^{n-1}/p \in \mathcal{O}_K$  since  $\alpha^{n-1}/p$  is integral over  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ :

$$\left(\frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{p}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha^{2(n-1)}}{p^2} = \alpha^{n-2} \frac{\alpha^n}{p^2} = \alpha^{n-2}m \in \mathbf{Z}[\alpha].$$

This fits Theorem 4.1 with  $\pi(T) = T$ ,  $F(T) = -pm$ , and  $h(T) = T^{n-1}$ .

- If  $f(T) = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $p \mid a_j$  for all  $j < n$ , and  $p^2 \mid a_0$ , then  $\alpha^{n-1}/p \notin \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $\alpha^{n-1}/p \in \mathcal{O}_K$  since  $\alpha^{n-1}/p$  is integral over  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ : from  $\alpha^n = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \alpha^j$ ,

$$\left(\frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{p}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha^n}{p^2} \alpha^{n-2} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{p^2} \alpha^{n-2+j} = -\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{p} \alpha^{j-1}\right) \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{p} - \frac{a_0}{p^2} \alpha^{n-2}.$$

This fits Theorem 4.1 with  $\pi(T) = T$ ,  $F(T) = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (a_j/p)T^j$ , and  $h(T) = T^{n-1}$ .

The general  $f(T)$  is more complicated than these ( $h(T)$  need not be a power of  $T$ ), but these special cases give some intuition for “why” the theorem might be true.

Now let's prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof.* The second method is a consequence of the first method since  $q(T)$  must be monic and  $\bar{f}(T) = \bar{q}(T)\bar{\pi}(T)$ , so we can use  $q(T)$  as  $h(T)$ .

If  $h_1(T)$  and  $h_2(T)$  are both monic lifts of  $\bar{f}(T)/\bar{\pi}(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ , then  $h_1(T) = h_2(T) + pm(T)$  for some  $m(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ , so  $h_1(\alpha)/p = h_2(\alpha)/p + m(\alpha)$  and  $m(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ . Therefore it suffices to prove the first method works for just one monic lift of  $\bar{f}(T)/\bar{\pi}(T)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ : then it automatically works for all other monic lifts.

Let  $\bar{\pi}(T) = \bar{\pi}_j(T)$ . We will show  $h(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  for the specific monic lift  $h(T) := \prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i(T)^{e_i} \pi_j(T)^{e_j-1}$ . The degree of  $h(T)$  is less than  $n$  (the rank of  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module), so  $h(\alpha)/p \notin \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  since the coefficient of its highest power of  $\alpha$  is  $1/p$ . It remains to show

that  $h(\alpha)/p \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . We will prove this ratio is an algebraic integer by showing for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $(p)$  that the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(h(\alpha))$  is at least as large as the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(p)$ . Since  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ , we can *not* assume  $(p)$  factors in the same way as  $\overline{f}(T)$  factors: (1.1) is unavailable to us.

Setting  $T = \alpha$  in (1.3),  $\pi_1(\alpha)^{e_1} \cdots \pi_g(\alpha)^{e_g} = -pF(\alpha)$ , so  $\pi(\alpha)h(\alpha) = -pF(\alpha)$  by the way we defined  $h(T)$ . Therefore we have the equation of principal ideals

$$(4.1) \quad (\pi(\alpha))^{e_1} \cdots (\pi_g(\alpha))^{e_g} = (\pi_j(\alpha))(h(\alpha)) = (p)(F(\alpha)).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal dividing  $(p)$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}$  divides some  $(\pi_i(\alpha))$  by (4.1).

Case 1:  $\pi_i(T) \neq \pi_j(T)$ . In  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ ,  $\overline{\pi}_i(T)$  and  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  are distinct monic irreducibles, so they are relatively prime:  $\overline{\pi}_i(T)u(T) + \overline{\pi}_j(T)v(T) = 1$ , so  $\pi_i(T)U(T) + \pi_j(T)V(T) = 1 + pM(T)$  where  $U(T), V(T), M(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . Setting  $T = \alpha$ ,  $\pi_i(\alpha)U(\alpha) + \pi_j(\alpha)V(\alpha) = 1 + pM(\alpha)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  divides  $(p)$  and  $(\pi_i(\alpha))$ ,  $\pi_j(\alpha)V(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid (\pi_j(\alpha))$ .

Therefore the second equation in (4.1) implies the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(h(\alpha))$  is at least as large as the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(p)$ .

Case 2:  $\pi_i(T) = \pi_j(T)$ .

Now  $\mathfrak{p}$  divides  $(p)$  and  $(\pi_j(\alpha))$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  divide  $(p)$  with multiplicity  $a$ , divide  $(\pi_j(\alpha))$  with multiplicity  $b$ , and divide  $(F(\alpha))$  with multiplicity  $c$ :

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}^a \mathfrak{a}, \quad (\pi_j(\alpha)) = \mathfrak{p}^b \mathfrak{b}, \quad (F(\alpha)) = \mathfrak{p}^c \mathfrak{c},$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ , or  $\mathfrak{c}$ . We have  $a \geq 1$ ,  $b \geq 1$ , and  $c \geq 0$ .

The argument in Case 1 shows a prime ideal dividing  $(p)$  divides only one of the ideals  $(\pi_1(\alpha)), \dots, (\pi_g(\alpha))$ , so the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in the first product of (4.1) is  $e_j b$ , while its multiplicity in the third product of (4.1) is  $a + c$ . Therefore

$$e_j b = a + c.$$

Since  $(h(\alpha)) = \prod_{k \neq j} (\pi_k(\alpha))^{e_k} (\pi_j(\alpha))^{e_j - 1}$ , the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(h(\alpha))$  is  $(e_j - 1)b$ . We want to show this is at least as large as the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(p)$ :  $e_j b - b \geq a$ . That is the same as  $a + c - b \geq a$ , or in other words  $c \geq b$ . Why is  $c \geq b$ ? We'll break this up into two cases depending on which of  $a$  or  $b$  is larger.

Case (i)  $b \geq a$ . Since  $e_j \geq 2$ ,  $a + c = e_j b \geq 2b$ , so  $c - b \geq b - a \geq 0$ , and thus  $c \geq b$ .

Case (ii):  $b \leq a$ . Since  $\overline{\pi}_j(T) \mid \overline{F}(T)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ ,  $F(T) = \pi_j(T)H(T) + pK(T)$  for some  $H(T)$  and  $K(T)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ . Therefore  $F(\alpha) = \pi_j(\alpha)H(\alpha) + pK(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . The multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(\pi_j(\alpha))$  is  $b$  and the multiplicity of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $(p)$  is  $a$ . Since  $b \leq a$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^b$  divides  $(\pi_j(\alpha))$  and  $(p)$ , so  $\pi_j(\alpha)H(\alpha) + pK(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^b}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}^b \mid (F(\alpha))$ , which implies  $b \leq c$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9.** In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we did not need Dedekind's index theorem. The proof starts with some  $\overline{\pi}_j(T)$  dividing  $\overline{F}(T)$  with  $e_j \geq 2$  in (1.2) and constructs an algebraic integer not in  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  of the form  $h(\alpha)/p$  where  $h(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$ . In  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ ,  $h(\alpha)/p$  has order  $p$ , so  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . Hence the proof of Theorem 4.1 is actually a second proof of ( $\Leftarrow$ ) in Dedekind's index theorem. Dedekind gave both proofs of ( $\Leftarrow$ ) that are worked out here.

If  $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$  and we use Theorem 4.1 to find a number  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K - \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ , it is not necessarily the case that  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] \subset \mathbf{Z}[\beta]$ . Here is an example of this.

**Example 4.10.** Let  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{12}$ ,  $\beta = \sqrt[3]{18}$ , and  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{12}) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{18})$ . Since  $\alpha = \beta^2/3$  and  $\beta = \alpha^2/2$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  but  $\beta \notin \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}[\beta]$ .



It can be shown that  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]] = 2$  and  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\beta]] = 3$ , so  $A := \mathbf{Z}[\alpha] + \mathbf{Z}[\beta]$  is an additive group such that  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] \subset A \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathbf{Z}[\beta] \subset A \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $[\mathcal{O}_K : A]$  divides 2 and 3. Therefore  $[\mathcal{O}_K : A] = 1$ , which tells us

$$\mathcal{O}_K = A = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\alpha + \mathbf{Z}\alpha^2 + \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\beta + \mathbf{Z}\beta^2 = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\alpha + \mathbf{Z}\beta$$

since  $\alpha^2 = 2\beta$  and  $\beta^2 = 3\alpha$ .

In a number field  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  might have the form  $\mathbf{Z}[\gamma]$  for some  $\gamma$  or it might not.

**Example 4.11.** If  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{12})$  then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\gamma]$  where  $\gamma = \sqrt[3]{12} + \sqrt[3]{18}$ , but if  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{52})$  then  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbf{Z}[\gamma]$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

This illustrates why Theorems 1.3 and 4.1 can't always be iterated to enlarge a subring  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  in stages to reach all of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , but Theorem 1.3 is a preliminary step in the following algorithm that computes  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . It is called the “round 2” algorithm.

Step 1: Write a number field  $K$  as  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  with minimal polynomial  $f(T)$ .

Step 2: Since  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]^2 \mid \text{disc}(f)$ , factor  $\text{disc}(f)$  to assemble a list of primes  $p$  such that  $p^2 \mid \text{disc}(f)$ . These are the possible prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

Step 3: Use Dedekind's index theorem on the primes at the end of Step 2 to determine the finite set of primes that divide  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ . If there are no such primes then  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]] = 1$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  and we are done. If  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]] > 1$ , then let  $S$  be the set of prime factors of  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbf{Z}[\alpha]]$ .

Step 4: For  $p \in S$ , and an order  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $K$ , such as  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ , we want to build an order  $\mathcal{O}_p$  containing  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}_p]$ .

Set  $I_p = \{x \in \mathcal{O} : x^m \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}} \text{ for some } m \geq 1\}$ . This is a nonzero ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$  (the radical of the ideal  $p\mathcal{O}$ ), e.g.,  $p \in I_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}'$  be the multiplier ring of  $I_p$  in  $K$ :

$$\mathcal{O}' = \{x \in K : xI_p \subset I_p\},$$

so  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}' \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . Methods of computing  $I_p$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$  starting from a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}$ , are in [1, Sect. 6.1.1].

Step 5: For  $\mathcal{O}'$  as in Step 4,  $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}]$  is a power of  $p$ : since  $p \in I_p$ ,  $p\mathcal{O}' \subset I_p \subset \mathcal{O}$ , so  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}' \subset (1/p)\mathcal{O}$ . Thus  $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}] \mid p^n$ , where  $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ .

- If  $\mathcal{O}'$  is bigger than  $\mathcal{O}$  then the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}']$  is less than the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ . Rename  $\mathcal{O}'$  as  $\mathcal{O}$  and repeat Step 4.
- If  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}$  then  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ . This result, due to Pohst and Zassenhaus, is not obvious! A proof is in [1, Sect. 6.1.3]. (The converse is true too: if  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$  then  $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}]$  is a  $p$ -power dividing  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ , so  $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}] = 1$  and thus  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}$ .) Set  $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathcal{O}$ .

Step 6: Run through Steps 4 and 5 for each  $p \in S$ , starting with the initial order  $\mathcal{O}$  being  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$ , to get an order  $\mathcal{O}_p$  containing  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha]$  such that  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}_p]$ .

Set  $A := \sum_{p \in S} \mathcal{O}_p$ . This additive subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_p$  for each  $p$  in  $S$ , so  $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : A]$  for  $p \in S$ . Since  $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha] \subset A \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}_K : A]$  is 1 (as in Example 4.10), so  $\mathcal{O}_K = A = \sum_{p \in S} \mathcal{O}_p$ . That “computes”  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in terms of the rings  $\mathcal{O}_p$  for  $p \in S$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [2] R. Dedekind, “Ueber den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der ideale und der Theorie der höheren Congruenzen,” *Abhandlungen der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften in Göttingen* **23** (1878), 3–38. Online at <https://eudml.org/doc/135827>.